

Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY Introduction......ES-1 1.0 Municipal Coordination and Public ParticipationES-3 2.0 Existing Conditions ES-4 3.0 4.0 Hydrologic and Hydraulic CharacterizationES-5 Receiving Waters CharacterizationES-6 5.0 6.0 Current Conditions Financial & Institutional AssessmentES-7 7.0 Overview of Control Technology and Site Screening ES-10 8.0 9.0 Alternatives Analysis.....ES-11 10.0 Starting at the Source: How Our Region Can Work Together for Clean Water... ES-14 11.0 Interim Measures Wet Weather PlanES-15 TABLE OF CONTENTS **BIBLIOGRAPHY** ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS 1.0 INTRODUCTION Wet Weather Plan Objectives1-1 Plan Context1-2 1.2 Regulatory Framework1-6 1.3 Wet Weather Plan Development Process and Planning Team1-9 1.4 1.5 Wet Weather Plan Document Organization......1-13 1.5.1 Organization of Wet Weather Plan Topics1-13 1.5.2 Wet Weather Plan Format and Data Sources1-15 1.6 Wet Weather Plan Revisions and Updates1-15

2.0 MUNICIPAL COORDINATION AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION Executive Summary......2-1 2.1 Municipal and Public Participation Goals2-3 2.2 Regulatory Requirements2-5 2.3 Municipal Coordination2-9 2.3.1 Planning Basin Committees......2-9 2.3.2 Customer Municipal Advisory Committee2-13 2.3.3 3 Rivers Wet Weather Program Groups2-14 2.3.4 One-on-One Meetings2-16 2.3.5 Municipal Hydraulic Capacity Assessments......2-16 2.4 Public Participation2-17 2.4.1 Regional Stakeholder Group......2-17 2.4.2 General Public Outreach2-18 2.5 Formal Public Comment and Response Process......2-21 2.5.1 Overview of the Formal Public Comment and Response Process2-21 2.5.3 Outreach to Public Officials......2-23 2.5.4 Public Meetings2-23 2.6 Public and Municipal Comment and Response Summary......2-26 2.6.1 Public Comments2-27 2.6.2 Municipal Comments2-40 WWP Revisions and Updates2-54 3.0 **EXISTING CONDITIONS** Executive Summary......3-1 3.1 3.2.1 Interceptor System Overview......3-25 3.2.2 Municipal Collection System Overviews3-30

	3.3	Lower Ohio River – Girty's Run Basin Characterization	3-39
		3.3.1 Interceptor System Overview	3-45
		3.3.2 Municipal Collection System Overviews	3-47
	3.4	Main Rivers Basin Characterization	3-53
		3.4.1 Interceptor System Overview	3-54
		3.4.2 Municipal Collection System Overviews	3-62
	3.5	Saw Mill Run Basin Characterization	3-65
		3.5.1 Interceptor System Overview	3-69
		3.5.2 Municipal Collection System Overviews	3-71
	3.6	Turtle Creek Basin Characterization	3-79
		3.6.1 Interceptor System Overview	3-85
		3.6.2 Municipal Collection System Overviews	3-88
	3.7	Upper Allegheny River Basin Characterization	3-93
		3.7.1 Interceptor System Overview	3-94
		3.7.2 Municipal Collection System Overviews	3-101
	3.8	Upper Monongahela River Basin Characterization	3-107
		3.8.1 Interceptor System Overview	3-113
		3.8.2 Municipal Collection System Overviews	3-115
4.0	HYD	PROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC CHARACTERIZATION	
		Executive Summary	4-1
	4.1	Flow and Precipitation Monitoring	4-2
		4.1.1 Precipitation Monitoring	4-2
		4.1.2 Historical Flow Monitoring Program and Objectives	4-7
		4.1.3 RCS-FMP Objectives and Site Selection	4-8
		4.1.4 Program Implementation	4-13
		4.1.5 Quality Assurance and Quality Control Plan	4-15
	4.2	Hydrologic and Hydraulic Modeling	4-16
		4.2.1 History of ALCOSAN System Modeling	4-16

	4.2.2 Model Objectives and Capabilities and CD Requirements	4-17
	4.2.3 Model Input Data	4-18
	4.2.4 Model Representations	4-18
	4.2.5 Model Validation	4-21
	4.2.6 Subsequent Model Refinements and Updates	4-23
4.3	Regional System Hydrologic and Hydraulic Characterization	4-24
	4.3.1 Annual Overflow Frequency	4-24
	4.3.2 Annual Overflow Duration	4-27
	4.3.3 Annual Overflow Volume	4-30
4.4 (haracterization of the Chartiers Creek Planning Basin	4-35
	4.4.1 Existing Condition CSO Discharges from ALCOSAN Outfalls	4-35
	4.4.2 Existing Condition CSO Discharges from Municipal Outfalls	4-38
	4.4.3 Existing Condition SSO Discharges from ALCOSAN Outfalls	4-40
	4.4.4 Existing Condition SSO Discharges from Municipal Outfalls	4-42
4.5	Characterization of the Lower Ohio River / Girty's Run Planning Basi	in4-51
	4.5.1 Existing Condition CSO Discharges from ALCOSAN Outfalls	4-51
	4.5.2 Existing Condition CSO Discharges from Municipal Outfalls	4-53
	4.5.3 Existing Condition SSO Discharges from ALCOSAN Outfalls	4-54
	4.5.4 Existing Condition SSO Discharges from Municipal Outfalls	4-56
4.6	Characterization of the Main Rivers Planning Basin	4-63
	4.6.1 Existing Condition CSO Discharges from ALCOSAN Outfalls	4-63
	4.6.2 Existing Condition CSO Discharges from Municipal Outfalls	4-68
	4.6.3 Existing Condition SSO Discharges from ALCOSAN Outfalls	4-69
	4.6.4 Existing Condition SSO Discharges from Municipal Outfalls	4-69
4.7	Characterization of the Saw Mill Run Planning Basin	4-75
	4.7.1 Existing Condition CSO Discharges from ALCOSAN Outfalls	4-75
	4.7.2 Existing Condition CSO Discharges from Municipal Outfalls	4-77
	4.7.3 Existing Condition SSO Discharges from ALCOSAN Outfalls	4-80

		4.7.4 Existing Condition SSO Discharges from Municipal Outfalls4-	-80
	4.8	Characterization of the Turtle Creek Planning Basin4-	-87
		4.8.1 Existing Condition CSO Discharges from ALCOSAN Outfalls4-	-87
		4.8.2 Existing Condition CSO Discharges from Municipal Outfalls4-	-89
		4.8.3 Existing Condition SSO Discharges from ALCOSAN Outfalls4-	-91
		4.8.4 Existing Condition SSO Discharges from Municipal Outfalls4-	-93
	4.9	Characterization of the Upper Allegheny River Planning Basin4-1	101
		4.9.1 Existing Condition CSO Discharges from ALCOSAN Outfalls4-1	101
		4.9.2 Existing Condition CSO Discharges from Municipal Outfalls4-1	103
		4.9.3 Existing Condition SSO Discharges from ALCOSAN Outfalls4-1	105
		4.9.4 Existing Condition SSO Discharges from Municipal Outfalls4-1	106
	4.10	Characterization of the Upper Monongahela River Planning Basin4-1	113
		4.10.1 Existing Condition CSO Discharges from ALCOSAN Outfalls4-1	113
		4.10.2 Existing Condition CSO Discharges from Municipal Outfalls4-1	116
		4.10.3 Existing Condition SSO Discharges from ALCOSAN Outfalls4-1	117
		4.10.4 Existing Condition SSO Discharges from Municipal Outfalls4-1	118
5.0	REC	EIVING WATER CHARACTERIZATION	
		Executive Summary	5-1
	5.1	Physical Characterization	
		5.1.1 Watershed Characterizations	5-2
		5.1.2 Receiving Stream Hydrology	5-4
		5.1.3 Habitat and Geomorphological Characteristics	5-7
		5.1.4 Current Uses	5-9
		5.1.5 Sensitive Areas5-	-21
	5.2	Applicable Water Quality Standards5-	-23
		5.2.1 Protected Uses5-	-23
		5.2.2 Numeric Criteria5-	-25
		5.2.3 Narrative Criteria5-	-33

	5.3	Wate	Quality Monitoring Programs	5-35
		5.3.1	Receiving Water Quality	5-35
		5.3.2	CSO Discharges	5-41
		5.3.3	Industrial Discharges	5-45
			5.3.3.1 Computational Methodology	5-46
			5.3.3.2 Total Flow Estimation	5-46
			5.3.3.3 RCF Dilution Factors	5-47
		5.3.4	PCB CTS Sampling	5-47
			5.3.4.1 Event Criteria	5-48
			5.3.4.2 PCB CTS Sampling Sites	5-49
		5.3.5	Data Quality Assurance Review	5-51
	5.4	Wate	Quality Monitoring Results	5-55
		5.4.1	Receiving Water Quality	5-55
		5.4.2	CSO Discharges	5-76
		5.4.3	Industrial User Impacts	5-92
		5.4.4	PCB CTS Sampling	5-96
		5.4.5	Constituents of Concern	5-96
	5.5	Wate	Quality Modeling	5-99
		5.5.1	Collection System, Watershed and Tributary Approach	5-99
		5.5.2	Main Rivers Approach	5-104
		5.5.3	Water Quality Model Results for Existing Conditions	5-107
6.0	CLIE	DENT	CONDITIONS FINANCIAL & INSTITUTIONAL ASSESSMENT	
6.0	CUR		itive Summary	6.1
	6.1		uction	
	6.2		nt Residential Indicator (RI) – Phase 1	
	0.2			
			Current (2012) Wastewater Cost per Household Median Household Incomes	
		0.∠.3	Current Residential Indicators	ა-9

	6.3	Financial Capability Indicator (FCI) – Phase 2	6-16			
		6.3.1 Debt Indicators	6-16			
		6.3.2 Socioeconomic Indicators	6-22			
		6.3.3 Financial Management Indicators	6-28			
	6.4	Analyzing Financial Capability Indicators	6-36			
		6.4.1 Financial Indicators Numerical Scores	6-36			
		6.4.2 Current Conditions Financial Capability Matrix	6-36			
	6.5	Additional Economic and Demographic Factors	6-38			
		6.5.1 Population History	6-38			
		6.5.2 Household Trends	6-41			
		6.5.3 Income Growth Trends	6-42			
		6.5.4 Distressed Municipalities	6-44			
		6.5.5 Income Variations by Municipal Sewer Types	6-44			
		6.5.6 Poverty Rates	6-46			
	6.6	ALCOSAN Institutional Analysis	6-48			
		6.6.1 ALCOSAN Corporate Structure	6-48			
		6.6.2 Pennsylvania Municipal Code	6-48			
		6.6.3 Municipality Authorities Act	6-49			
		6.6.4 Sewage Facilities Act	6-49			
		6.6.5 Service Agreements	6-56			
		6.6.6 Municipal Governance	6-57			
7.0	FUTURE CONDITIONS					
		Executive Summary	7-1			
	7.1	Municipal Planning Projections	7-2			
		7.1.1 Municipal Planning Information Obtained	7-2			
		7.1.2 Projections for Population Growth	7-5			
		7.1.3 Projected Service Area Growth	7-13			
		7.1.4 Planned Projects	7-13			

	7.2	Futur	e Wastewater Flow	7-19
		7.2.1	Definitions and Assumptions	7-19
		7.2.2	Projected Wastewater Flows	7-22
	7.3	Econ	omic and Demographic Projections	7-25
		7.3.1	Inflation and Income Growth	7-25
		7.3.2	Current System Wastewater Costs	7-32
		7.3.3	Projected Billed Water Consumption and Customer Base	7-32
		7.3.4	ALCOSAN Rate Base Composition	7-34
8.0	OVE	RVIEV	V OF CONTROL TECHNOLOGY AND SITE SCREENING	
		Execu	utive Summary	8-1
	8.1	Introd	luction	8-2
	8.2	Alterr	native Development for Controlling CSO and SSO Discharges	8-3
		8.2.1	Sites and Technologies Screening Process	8-3
		8.2.2	Identification of Potential Control Technologies	8-5
		8.2.3	Screening and Evaluation of Control Technologies	8-8
		8.2.4	Identification of Potential Sites	8-11
		8.2.5	Screening and Evaluation of Potential Sites	8-11
		8.2.6	Development and Evaluation of Site Alternatives	8-13
	8.3	Chart	iers Creek Planning Basin Control Technology and Site Screening	8-18
		8.3.1	Summary of Control Technology Screening Process	8-18
		8.3.2	Summary of Site Screening Process	8-20
		8.3.3	Site Alternative Development	8-24
		8.3.4	Evaluation and Ranking of Sites Alternatives	8-25
		8.3.5	Control Technologies Carried Forward	8-27
	8.4		r Ohio–Girty's Run Planning Basin Control Technology Site Screening	8-28
		8.4.1	Summary of Control Technology Screening Process	8-28
		8.4.2	Summary of Site Screening Process	8-30

	8.4.3 Site Alternative Development	8-32
	8.4.4 Evaluation and Ranking of Sites Alternatives	8-34
	8.4.5 Control Technologies Carried Forward	8-36
8.5	Main Rivers Planning Basin Control Technology and Site Screening	8-37
	8.5.1 Summary of Control Technology Screening Process	8-37
	8.5.2 Summary of Site Screening Process	8-39
	8.5.3 Site Alternative Development	8-43
	8.5.4 Evaluation and Ranking of Sites Alternatives	8-45
	8.5.5 Control Technologies Carried Forward	8-46
8.6	Saw Mill Run Planning Basin Control Technology and Site Screening	8-51
	8.6.1 Summary of Control Technology Screening Process	8-51
	8.6.2 Summary of Site Screening Process	8-52
	8.6.3 Site Alternative Development	8-53
	8.6.4 Evaluation and Ranking of Sites Alternatives	8-55
	8.6.5 Control Technologies Carried Forward	8-59
8.7	Turtle Creek Planning Basin Control Technology and Site Screening	8-60
	8.7.1 Summary of Control Technology Screening Process	8-60
	8.7.2 Summary of Site Screening Process	8-61
	8.7.3 Site Alternative Development	8-65
	8.7.4 Evaluation and Ranking of Sites Alternatives	8-66
	8.7.5 Control Technologies Carried Forward	8-68
8.8	Upper Allegheny Planning Basin Control Technology and Site Screening	8-69
	8.8.1 Summary of Control Technology Screening Process	8-69
	8.8.2 Summary of Site Screening Process	8-71
	8.8.3 Site Alternative Development	8-76
	8.8.4 Evaluation and Ranking of Sites Alternatives	8-79
	8.8.5 Control Technologies Carried Forward	8-80

	8.9	Uppe	r Monongahela Planning Basin Control Technology and Site Screening	g8-82
		8.9.1	Summary of Control Technology Screening Process	8-82
		8.9.2	Summary of Site Screening Process	8-84
		8.9.3	Site Alternative Development	8-87
		8.9.4	Evaluation and Ranking of Sites Alternatives	8-87
		8.9.5	Control Technologies Carried Forward	8-92
9.0	ALT	ERNAT	TIVES ANALYSIS	
		Execu	utive Summary	9-1
	9.1	Regio	onal Coordination and Integration Methodology	9-2
		9.1.1	Regional Integration Process	9-2
		9.1.2	Sensitive Areas	9-4
		9.1.3	Cost Estimating	9-7
		9.1.4	Hydrologic and Hydraulic Modeling	9-10
		9.1.5	Incorporation of Municipal Planning Information	9-14
	9.2	Wood	s Run WWTP and Satellite Treatment Alternatives Analysis	9-15
		9.2.1	Wastewater Characteristics	9-15
		9.2.2	Existing Treatment Processes Capacity for Wet Weather Treatment	9-21
		9.2.3	Wet Weather Flow Routing Scenarios	9-30
		9.2.4	Secondary Treatment and Core Flow Requirements	9-50
		9.2.5	Bypass Demonstration	9-51
		9.2.6	Satellite Sewage Treatment Plant Alternatives	9-73
	9.3	Munic	cipal Planning Information	9-79
		9.3.1	Introduction	9-79
		9.3.2	Information Requested by ALCOSAN	9-79
		9.3.3	Municipal Responses	9-80
		9.3.4	Integration of Municipal Responses into the WWP	9-129
		9.3.5	Ongoing Coordination after Submission of the WWP	9- 129

9.4	Basin	Alternat	tives Analysis	9-139
	9.4.1	Introduc	ction	9-139
	9.4.2	Chartie	rs Creek Planning Basin	9-147
	9.4.3	Lower (Ohio - Girty's Run Planning Basin	9-157
	9.4.4	Main R	ivers Planning Basin	9-191
	9.4.5	Saw Mi	Il Run Planning Basin	9-211
	9.4.6	Turtle C	Creek Planning Basin	9-227
	9.4.7	Upper A	Allegheny Planning Basin	9-241
	9.4.8	Upper N	Monongahela Planning Basin	9-255
9.5	Syste	m-Wide	Alternatives Analysis	9-269
	9.5.1	Introdu	ction	9-270
	9.5.2	Summa	ary of System-Wide Alternatives	9-271
		9.5.2.1	Basin-Based Control Strategy	9-277
		9.5.2.2	Regional-Based Control Strategy	9-285
		9.5.2.3	Preliminary Hybrid Alternatives for Evaluating Satellite Sewag Treatment and Regional Tunnel Extents	
		9.5.2.4	Hybrid Alternatives for Evaluating SSO Levels of Control	9-299
		9.5.2.5	Additional Hybrid Alternatives for Evaluating Presumption and Demonstration Approaches	
	9.5.3	Basin a	and Regional Based Control Alternatives	9-310
	9.5.4	Region	al Tunnel Extents and Satellite Sewage Treatment Analysis	9-313
	9.5.5	SSO C	ontrol Analysis	9-318
	9.5.6	Analysi	s of Presumption and Demonstration Approach Alternatives	9-323
		9.5.6.1	Frequency, Duration, and Volume of Overflows	9-323
		9.5.6.2	Percent Capture	9-331
		9.5.6.3	Secondary Treatment and Core Flow Requirements	9-334
		9.5.6.4.	Knee-of-the-Curve Analysis	9-337
		9.5.6.5	Water Quality Benefits Analysis	9-339
		9.5.6.6	Sensitive Areas Analysis	9-362

		9.5.7	System-Wide Alternatives Ranking Analysis	9-367
	9.6	Selec	eted Plan	9-373
		9.6.1	Description	9-373
		9.6.2	Schedule Analysis	9-410
		9.6.3	Selected Alternative Affordability Assessment	9-412
			9.6.3.1 Summary of Costs	9-412
			9.6.3.2 Affordability Assessment	9-413
			9.6.3.3 Financial Capability Implications	9-417
			9.6.3.4 Additional Documentation	9-422
			9.6.3.5 Alternative Affordability Conclusions	9-425
	9.7	Afford	dable 2026 Alternatives	9-427
		9.7.1	SSO Control Priority	9-428
		9.7.2	Water Quality Priority	9-431
		9.7.3	Balanced Priorities	9-437
		9.7.4	Comparison of Affordable Alternatives	9-441
		9.7.5	Selection of the Recommended Plan	9-457
10.0		RTING AN W <i>A</i>	G AT THE SOURCE: HOW OUR REGION CAN WORK TOGETHER F ATER	FOR
	Exec	cutive S	Summary	10-1
	10.1	Introd	duction	10-2
	10.2	Sourc	ce Control Practices	10-4
		Section	on Summary	10-4
		10.2.	1 Green Stormwater Infrastructure	10-5
		10	0.2.1.1 Benefits of Green Stormwater Infrastructure	10-5
			0.2.1.2 National and Regional Perspectives on Controlling CSOs rough GSI	10-7
		10	0.2.1.3 Current Green Stormwater Infrastructure in the Pittsburgh Reg	jion10-13
		10.2.2	2 Inflow and Infiltration (I/I) Source Reduction	10-15
		10	0.2.2.1 What is Inflow and Infiltration Source Reduction?	10-15

	10.2.2.2 Benefits of I/I Reduction	10-16
	10.2.2.3 National and Regional Perspectives on I/I Reduction	10-16
	10.2.3 Source Control in Municipal Feasibility Studies	10-20
10.3	Regional Source Controls Analysis	10-22
	Section Summary	10-22
	10.3.1 Source Control Performance and Costs	10-24
	10.3.1.1 Green Stormwater Infrastructure	10-24
	10.3.1.2 I/I Reduction	10-40
	10.3.2 Benefits and Cost-Effectiveness of Large-Scale Source Control Implementation	10-46
	10.3.2.1 Green Stormwater Infrastructure	10-46
	10.3.2.2 I/I Reduction	10-50
	10.3.2.3 Combination of GSI and I/I Reduction	10-53
	10.3.3 Opportunities to Eliminate Traditional Infrastructure in the Selected Plan	10-59
	10.3.3.1 Green Stormwater Infrastructure	10-61
	10.3.3.2 I/I Reduction	10-68
	10.3.3.3 Sewer Separation	10-76
	10.3.3.4 Direct Stream Inflows	10-78
	10.3.4 Opportunities to Downsize Traditional Infrastructure in the Selected Plan	10-82
	10.3.4.1 Regional Tunnel and Pump Station Downsizing	10-83
	10.3.4.2 Regional Conveyance and Municipal Pipe Downsizing	10-85
	10.3.4.3 Cost Analysis	10-86
10.4	Municipal Opportunity Assessment & Potential Projects	10-90
	Section Summary	10-90
	10.4.1 Municipal Green Infrastructure Analyses	10-91
	10.4.1.1 ALCOSAN Regional Source Control Analysis	10-91
	10.4.1.2 3RWW Green Stormwater Infrastructure Project Identification	10-92
	10.4.1.3 Lawrenceville Green Stormwater Infrastructure Pilot Study Area	10-98

	10.4.1.4 Other Green Stormwater Infrastructure Analyses in the ALCOSAN Service Area	10-101
	10.4.2 Municipal Coordination and Identification of Potential Green Stormwalnfrastructure Projects	
	10.4.2.1 Municipality and Stakeholder Meetings	10-108
	10.4.2.2 Identification of Potential GSI Opportunities	10-108
	10.4.3 Assessment of Potential GSI Project Opportunities	10-112
	10.4.4 Concepts for Example GSI Projects	10-113
	10.4.4.1 Braddock Borough Community Plaza	10-114
	10.4.4.2 Homestead Borough Frick Park Infiltration Trenches	10-116
	10.4.4.3 City of Pittsburgh Beltzhoover Neighborhood – McKinley Park GSI	10-117
	10.4.4.4 Etna Borough GSI Concept from Etna Green Infrastructure Master Plan	10-119
	10.4.4.5 Project 15206 GSI Concept in the City of Pittsburgh, Morningsion Neighborhood	
	10.4.4.6 East Pittsburgh Grandview Avenue Park, East Pittsburgh Borough	10-122
	10.4.4.7 ALCOSAN Access Shaft M-30 Property, City of Pittsburgh Greenfield Neighborhood	10-124
	10.4.4.8 Fleming Avenue GSI and Community Park, Stowe Township	10-125
	10.4.4.9 Allegheny Commons North Avenue GSI Concept, City of Pittsburgh	10-126
	10.4.4.10 Airbrake Avenue Trail GSI Concept, Wilmerding Borough	10-127
	10.4.5 Potential Municipal I/I Reduction Projects	10-127
	10.4.5.1 Municipal Meetings	10-127
	10.4.5.2 Identification of Potential I/I Reduction Opportunities	10-128
	10.4.6 ALCOSAN Partnership Opportunities	10-128
10.5	. A Greener Wet Weather Strategy	10-132
	Section Summary	10-132
	10.5.1 Abundant Opportunities	10-133
	10.5.2 A Greener Alternative	10-147

	10.6	Source Reduction Incentives	10-154
		Section Summary	10-154
		10.6.1 Wet Weather Charges	10-156
		10.6.2 GSI Incentive Programs	10-159
		10.6.3 Inflow and Infiltration Source Reduction Incentives	10-162
		10.6.4 ALCOSAN Legal and Institutional Context	10-164
		10.6.5 ALCOSAN Incentives Program Options	10-165
		10.6.6 Analysis of Options	10-179
	10.7	ALCOSAN's Flow Reduction Program	10-185
11.0	INTE	RIM MEASURES WET WEATHER PLAN	
	Exec	utive Summary	11-1
	11.1	Wet Weather Plan Comments and Modified Consent Decree	11-2
	11.2	Interim Measures Projects and Flow Reduction Initiatives	11-3
		11.2.1 Flow Reduction Program	11-9
		11.2.1.1 Green Revitalization of Our Waterways (GROW)	11-9
		11.2.1.2 Municipal Flow Reduction Plans	11-13
		11.2.1.3 Flow Reduction Ordinance Support	11-13
		11.2.1.4 Long Term Flow Monitoring Program	11-14
		11.2.1.5 Regionalization of Inter-Municipal Trunk Sewers	11-14
		11.2.1.6 Flow Reduction Enhancements for ALCOSAN Facilities	11-14
		11.2.2 Regionalization	11-15
		11.2.3 Proactive Flow Reduction and System Upgrade Projects	11-17
		11.2.4 Regional Flow Optimization Strategy and Preliminary Planning	11-22
		11.2.5 Woods Run WWTP Expansion	11-23
		11.2.6 Regional Tunnel and Dewatering Pump Station	11-29
		11.2.7 Ohio River Tunnel and Facilities	11-34
		11.2.8 Allegheny River Tunnel and Facilities	11-38
		11.2.9 Monongahela River Tunnel and Facilities	11-41

	11.2.10 Upper Monongahela CSO Retention Treatment Basin11-4	43
	11.2.11 Interim Measures Wet Weather Plan Performance11-4	45
11.3	Implementation Plan11-4	47
	11.3.1 Implementation Schedule11-4	47
	11.3.2 Operation and Maintenance11-5	51
	11.3.3 Interim and Post-Construction Monitoring Plan11-	57
	11.3.4 Financing Plan11-6	80
	11.3.5 Affordability and Financial Capability Assessment11-8	86
	11.3.6 Institutional Assessment	05
	11.3.7 Adaptive Management11-10	80
	11.3.7.1 Green Stormwater Infrastructure and Other Flow Reduction Measures11-1	10
	11.3.7.2 Regionalization11-1	12
	11.3.7.3 Integrated Planning11-1	13
	11.3.7.4 Financial Capability11-1	16
	11.3.7.5 Wet Weather Plan Revisions11-1	18

Appendices

Munici	pal Coordination and Public Participation
A-1 A-2 A-3 A-4 A-5 A-6 A-7 A-8 A-9	Basin Planning Committees and BPC Meeting Minutes Newsletters Customer Municipal Advisory Committee Rivers Wet Weather (3RWW) Program Groups Municipal One-on-One Meetings Regional Stakeholder Group Annual Customer Information Meetings Formal Public Comment Documentation Public and Municipal Comment Documentation
Anticip	ated Populations and Flow Volumes at each Point of Connection
Estima	ted Peak Flow Rates for Selected Plan at each POC
	pal Comment Documentation on Starting at the Source: How Our of Can Work Together for Clean Water
	g at the Source: How Our Region Can Work er for Clean Water Appendices
E-1 E-2 E-3 E-4 E-5 E-6 E-7 E-8 E-9	Approaches and Perspectives on GSI and Other Source Controls GSI Installations in the ALCOSAN Service Area GSI Cost Literature Review Inflow and Infiltration Reduction in Sanitary Sewers 3RWW GSI Project Identification Report Lawrenceville GSI Pilot Study Municipality and Stakeholder Outreach Project Location Site Summaries Select GSI Projects
	A-1 A-2 A-3 A-4 A-5 A-6 A-7 A-8 A-9 Anticip Estima Municip Region Startin Togeth E-1 E-2 E-3 E-4 E-5 E-6 E-7 E-8

Figures

Section	1	Fig	ures

	Figure 1-1	Raw sewage discharging into Saw Mill Run, circa 1950	1-2
	Figure 1-2	ALCOSAN's regional conveyance system intercepted existing municipal sewers	1-2
	Figure 1-3	Construction of the shallow cut portions of the ALCOSAN interceptor system	1-2
	Figure 1-4	Construction of the deep tunnel portions of the ALCOSAN interceptor system	1-3
	Figure 1-5	ALCOSAN's wastewater treatment plant	1-4
	Figure 1-6	ALCOSAN Regional Interceptor System	1-5
	Figure 1-7	ALCOSAN Planning Basins	.1-10
	Figure 1-8	WWP Development Process and Planning Team	.1-11
Se	ction 2 Figur	es	
	Figure 2-1	ALCOSAN Public and Municipal Outreach Organization	2-4
Se	ction 3 Figur	es	
	Figure 3-1	ALCOSAN Conveyance and Treatment System	3-3
	Figure 3-2	Construction of an ALCOSAN deep tunnel interceptor sewer	3-2
	Figure 3-3	Construction of an ALCOSAN shallow-cut interceptor sewer	3-2
	Figure 3-4	Interior view of an ALCOSAN regulator structure diverting flow for treatment	3-5
	Figure 3-5	Aerial View of the ALCOSAN wastewater treatment plant at Woods Run	3-6
	Figure 3-6	ALCOSAN Planning Basin Configuration	3-8
	Figure 3-7	Municipal Collection System Direct Stream Inflow Locations	.3-15
	Figure 3-8	A Creek along Spring Garden Avenue is culverted and becomes the Spring Garden combined trunk sewer serving Reserve Township and the City of Pittsburgh	
	Figure 3-9	Surface Streams in Pittsburgh Circa 1876	
	Figure 3-10	Chartiers Creek Planning Basin	.3-19
		Lower Ohio River – Girty's Run Planning Basin	
	Figure 3-12	Main Rivers Planning Basin	.3-55

	Figure 3-13	Saw Mill Run Planning Basin	3-67
	Figure 3-14	Turtle Creek Planning Basin	3-81
	Figure 3-15	Upper Allegheny River Planning Basin	3-95
	Figure 3-16	Upper Monongahela River Planning Basin	.3-109
Se	ction 4 Figur	es	
	Figure 4-1	Radar Rainfall System Pixels and Regional Gauge Locations	4-4
	Figure 4-2	Average Monthly Precipitation Volumes over the ALCOSAN Service Area inches	
	Figure 4-3	Average Number of Monthly Precipitation Events over the ALCOSAN Service Area	4-5
	Figure 4-4	Locations of Shallow-Cut and Deep Tunnel Interceptor Monitoring Sites used for Model Validation	4-9
	Figure 4-5	Non-Synoptic Point of Connection Monitoring Sites used for Model Validation	4-10
	Figure 4-6	RCS-FMP Monitoring Sites used for Model Validation	4-11
	Figure 4-7	Monitoring crew conducting field investigations	4-14
	Figure 4-8	Looking down at flow monitoring equipment installed within a sewer manhole	4-14
	Figure 4-9	Looking upstream along a sewer pipe with installed flow monitoring equipment	4-15
	Figure 4-10	Example of monitored dry weather wastewater flow from a residential area with typical diurnal week-day, weekend flow patterns	4-18
	Figure 4-11	Example of a monitored sewer system response to a storm event showing typical storm peak and recession limb	4-19
	Figure 4-12	Three triangular unit hydrographs used in the H&H models to represent the sewer system response to a storm event	4-20
	Figure 4-13	Typical example of a variable-orifice tipping gate used to regulate wastewater flow	4-20
	Figure 4-14	Typical example of a vortex drop-shaft used to convey flow to the deep tunnel system	4-20
	Figure 4-15	Typical example of a flap gate used to prevent stream flow from entering the ALCOSAN system	4-21
	Figure 4-16	Typical Example of an H&H Model Validation Plot Comparing Simulated and Observed Wastewater Flow	4-22

Figure 4-1	7 Annual Overflow Frequency for ALCOSAN CSO Outfalls	4-25
Figure 4-1	8 Annual Overflow Frequency for Municipal CSO Outfalls	4-25
Figure 4-1	9 Annual Overflow Frequency for ALCOSAN SSO Outfalls	4-26
Figure 4-2	0 Annual Overflow Frequency for Municipal SSO Outfalls	4-26
Figure 4-2	1 Annual Overflow Duration for ALCOSAN CSO Outfalls	4-28
Figure 4-2	2 Annual Overflow Duration for Municipal CSO Outfalls	4-28
Figure 4-2	3 Annual Overflow Duration for ALCOSAN SSO Outfalls	4-29
Figure 4-2	4 Annual Overflow Duration for Municipal SSO Outfalls	4-29
Figure 4-2	5 Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual CSO and SSO Discharge Volume Summary for the Entire ALCOSAN Service Area	4-31
Figure 4-2	6 Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual CSO Discharge Volume Summary for the Seven Planning Basins Located Within the ALCOSAN Service Area	4-32
Figure 4-2	7 Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual SSO Discharge Volume Summary for the Seven Planning Basins Located Within the ALCOSAN Service Area	4-33
Figure 4-2	8 Typical Year Overflow Frequency of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Chartiers Creek Planning Basin Area	4-47
Figure 4-2	9 Typical Year Overflow Duration of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Chartiers Creek Planning Basin Area	4-48
Figure 4-3	O Typical Year Overflow Volume of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Chartiers Creek Planning Basin Area	4-49
Figure 4-3	Typical Year Overflow Frequency of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Lower Ohio / Girty's Run Planning Basin Area	4-59
Figure 4-3	Typical Year Overflow Duration of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Lower Ohio / Girty's Run Planning Basin Area	4-60
Figure 4-3	3 Typical Year Overflow Volume of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Lower Ohio / Girty's Run Planning Basin Area	4-61
Figure 4-3	4 Typical Year Overflow Frequency of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Main Rivers Planning Basin Area	4-71
Figure 4-3	5 Typical Year Overflow Duration of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Main Rivers Planning Basin Area	4-72
Figure 4-3	6 Typical Year Overflow Volume of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Main Rivers Planning Basin Area	4-73

	Figure 4-37	Typical Year Overflow Frequency of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Saw Mill Run Planning Basin Area4-	-83
	Figure 4-38	Typical Year Overflow Duration of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Saw Mill Run Planning Basin Area4	-84
	Figure 4-39	Typical Year Overflow Volume of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Saw Mill Run Planning Basin Area4	-85
	Figure 4-40	Typical Year Overflow Frequency of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Turtle Creek Planning Basin Area4-	.97
	Figure 4-41	Typical Year Overflow Duration of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Turtle Creek Planning Basin Area4-	.98
	Figure 4-42	Typical Year Overflow Volume of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Turtle Creek Run Planning Basin Area4-	.99
	Figure 4-43	Typical Year Overflow Frequency of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Upper Allegheny River Planning Basin Area4-1	09
	Figure 4-44	Typical Year Overflow Duration of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Upper Allegheny River Planning Basin Area4-1	10
	Figure 4-45	Typical Year Overflow Volume of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Upper Allegheny River Planning Basin Area4-1	11
	Figure 4-46	Typical Year Overflow Frequency of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Upper Monongahela River Planning Basin Area4-1	21
	Figure 4-47	Typical Year Overflow Duration of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Upper Monongahela River Planning Basin Area4-1	22
	Figure 4-48	Typical Year Overflow Volume of CSO/SSO Outfalls within the Upper Monongahela River Planning Basin Area4-1	23
Sec	ction 5 Figur	es	
	Figure 5-1	Topography of Service Area	5-5
	Figure 5-2	Recreational Use Study Reconnaissance Phase Survey Locations5-	-10
	Figure 5-3	ALCOSAN Recreational Use Categories Summary5-	-14
	Figure 5-4	Types of Recreation by Waterbody5-	-16
	Figure 5-5	Primary and Secondary Contact Recreationists by Survey Area5-	-17
	Figure 5-6	Sensitive Areas, Including Drinking Water Intake Locations5-	-20
	Figure 5-7	Designated Uses for Aquatic Life Protection5-	-26
	Figure 5-8	Receiving Water Quality Stations5-	-36
	Figure 5-9	Description of Water Sampling Types5-	-37

Figure 5-10	CSO Sampling Locations	5-43
Figure 5-11	PCB CTS Sampling Sites	5-50
Figure 5-12	Measured Concentrations of Fecal Coliform during Recreational Season.	5-56
Figure 5-13	Measured Concentrations of Fecal Coliform during Non-Recreational Season	5-56
Figure 5-14	Percent of Fecal Coliform Samples > 200cfu/100ml during the Recreational Season	5-57
Figure 5-15	Percent of Fecal Coliform Samples > 400cfu/100ml during the Recreational Season	5-57
Figure 5-16	Measured Concentrations of BOD ₅	5-58
Figure 5-17	Measured DO Concentrations	5-59
Figure 5-18	Measured Total Ammonia Nitrogen Concentrations	5-60
Figure 5-19	Difference between Measured Concentration and CMC for Total Ammonia Nitrogen	5-61
Figure 5-20	Difference between Measured Concentration and CCC for Total Ammonia Nitrogen	5-61
Figure 5-21	Measured Concentrations of Nitrite + Nitrate Nitrogen by Waterbody	5-63
Figure 5-22	Measured Concentrations of Total Phosphorus	5-64
Figure 5-23	Percent of Samples Exceeding Reference Threshold of 0.100 mg/l	5-64
Figure 5-24	Measured Concentrations of TSS	5-65
Figure 5-25	Percent of Samples Exceeding 60 mg/l Reference Threshold	5-66
Figure 5-26	Measured pH	5-67
Figure 5-27	Deviation of Measured Temperatures from Maximum Temperature Standard	5-68
Figure 5-28	Measured Concentrations of Dissolved Iron	5-68
Figure 5-29	Measured Concentrations of Total Recoverable Iron	5-69
Figure 5-30	Difference between Measured Concentration and CCC for Dissolved Lead	5-70
Figure 5-31	Difference between Measured Concentration and CMC for Dissolved Lead	5-70
Figure 5-32	Difference between Measured Concentration and CCC for Dissolved Cadmium	5-71

Figure 5-33	Difference between Measured Concentration and CMC for Dissolved Cadmium	5-72
Figure 5-34	Difference between Measured Concentration and CCC for Dissolved Zinc	5-72
Figure 5-35	Difference between Measured Concentration and CCC for Dissolved Nickel	5-73
Figure 5-36	Difference between Measured Concentration and CCC for Dissolved Copper	5-74
Figure 5-37	Difference between Measured Concentration and CMC for Dissolved Silver	5-75
Figure 5-38	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of Fecal Coliform	5-77
Figure 5-39	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of E. Coli	5-77
Figure 5-40	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of BOD ₅	5-78
Figure 5-41	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of COD	5-78
Figure 5-42	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of DO	5-79
Figure 5-43	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of Total Ammonia Nitrogen	5-79
Figure 5-44	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of Nitrite + Nitrate Nitrogen	5-80
Figure 5-45	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of Total Phosphorus	5-80
Figure 5-46	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of TSS	5-81
Figure 5-47	CSO PMP Measured pH	5-81
Figure 5-48	CSO PMP Measured Temperatures	5-82
Figure 5-49	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of Dissolved Iron	5-82
Figure 5-50	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of Total Recoverable Iron	5-83
Figure 5-51	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of Dissolved Lead	5-84
Figure 5-52	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of Total Lead	5-84
Figure 5-53	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of Dissolved Cadmium	5-85
Figure 5-54	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of Total Cadmium	5-85
Figure 5-55	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of Dissolved Zinc	5-86
Figure 5-56	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of Total Zinc	5-86
Figure 5-57	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of Dissolved Nickel	5-87
Figure 5-58	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of Total Nickel	5-87

	Figure 5-59	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of Dissolved Copper	5-88
	Figure 5-60	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of Total Copper	5-88
	Figure 5-61	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of Dissolved Silver	5-89
	Figure 5-62	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of Total Silver	5-89
	Figure 5-63	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of Dissolved Chromium	5-90
	Figure 5-64	CSO PMP Measured Concentrations of Total Chromium	5-90
	Figure 5-65	Sample CSO Validation Scatter Plot	.5-104
	Figure 5-66	Main Rivers Model Pools	.5-106
	Figure 5-67	RMA2 Stage Validation	.5-107
	Figure 5-68	Existing Conditions Typical Year Annual Fecal Coliform Overflow Loadings	.5-109
	Figure 5-69	Existing Conditions Recreational Season Fecal Coliform Geometric Mean Water Quality Standard Assessment	.5-112
	Figure 5-70	Existing Conditions Recreational Season Fecal Coliform 10% Water Quality Standard Assessment	.5-113
	Figure 5-71	Existing Conditions Non-Recreational Season Fecal Coliform Geometric Mean Water Quality Standard	.5-114
Sec	ction 6 Figur	es	
	Figure 6-1	2012 Median Household Income by Census Block Group	6-7
	Figure 6-2	Current Household Burden Distribution	6-12
	Figure 6-3	Current Conditions Residential Indicator by Census Block Group	6-13
Sec	ction 7 Figur	es	
	Figure 7-1	Population Change by Municipality from Existing Conditions to Future Baseline	7-11
	Figure 7-2	Projects Considered Implemented for Future Baseline Conditions	7-17
	Figure 7-3	Impacts of Projected Population Growth on Annual Discharge Volume	7-24
	Figure 7-4	Median Household Income at Various Annual Inflators	7-27
	Figure 7-5	Impacts of Operation and Maintenance Cost Inflation	7-31
	Figure 7-6	Impacts of Capital Cost Inflation	7-31
Sec	ction 8 Figur	es	
	Figure 8-1	WWP Control Alternatives Development Process	8-4

	Figure 8-2	Sample Screening Summary Form (SMR Basin)	8-17
	Figure 8-3	SMR – Potential Sites	8-54
	Figure 8-4	TC – Potential Sites	8-63
	Figure 8-5	TC – Suitable Preferred Sites	8-64
	Figure 8-6	UA – Potential and Preferred Sites	8-75
	Figure 8-7	UA – Consolidated Flow Groupings	8-78
	Figure 8-8	UM – Potential Sites	8-86
Sec	ction 9 Figur	es	
	Figure 9-1	Alternatives Development and Regional Integration Process	9-3
	Figure 9-2	Sensitive Areas with Targeted Outfalls	9-6
	Figure 9-3	SCS Type II Summer Design Storms	9-12
	Figure 9-4	Winter Design Storms	9-13
	Figure 9-5	ALCOSAN Woods Run WWTP Site Plan	9-17
	Figure 9-6	Woods Run WWTP Existing Process Flow Schematic	9-22
	Figure 9-7	Plant Expansion Scenario A Process Flow Diagram (Alternatives A-3 \ A-4 Shown)	9-32
	Figure 9-8	Plant Expansion Scenario A Conceptual Site Plan	9-35
	Figure 9-9	Plant Expansion Scenario B Process Flow Diagram	9-38
	Figure 9-10	Plant Expansion Scenario B Conceptual Site Plan	9-39
	Figure 9-11	Plant Expansion Scenario C Process Flow Diagram	9-42
	Figure 9-12	Plant Expansion Scenario C Conceptual Site Plan	9-43
	Figure 9-13	Plant Expansion Scenario D Process Flow Diagram	9-46
	Figure 9-14	Plant Expansion Scenario D Conceptual Site Plan	9-47
	Figure 9-15	Bypass Demonstration – Conceptual Layout of Deep Storage Tunnel for Storage and Pump-Back Alternatives	9-55
	Figure 9-16	Bypass Demonstration – Conceptual Locations for Satellite Wastewater Treatment Facilities	9-63
	Figure 9-17	Locations for Satellite Wastewater Treatment Facilities Considered by the Basin Planners	9-74
	Figure 9-19	Chartiers Creek Planning Basin Municipal Alternatives	9-83

Figure 9-20	Lower Ohio - Girty's Run Planning Basin Municipal Alternatives	9-84
Figure 9-21	Main Rivers Planning Basin Municipal Alternatives	9-85
Figure 9-22	Saw Mill Run Planning Basin Municipal Alternatives	9-86
Figure 9-23	Turtle Creek Planning Basin Municipal Alternatives	9-87
Figure 9-24	Upper Allegheny River Planning Basin Municipal Alternatives	9-88
Figure 9-25	Upper Monongahela Planning Basin Municipal Alternatives	9-89
Figure 9-26:	Locations of Selected Complex Sewersheds for Additional Coordination .	9-138
Figure 9-27	Chartiers Creek BBS Basin Alternative Ranking Results	9-151
Figure 9-28	Chartiers Creek RBS Basin Alternative Ranking Results	9-151
Figure 9-29	Chartiers Creek Knee-of-the-Curve Analysis	9-153
Figure 9-30	Lower Northern Allegheny BBS Basin Alternative Ranking Results	9-163
Figure 9-31	Lower Northern Allegheny RBS Basin Alternative Ranking Results	9-163
Figure 9-32	Lower Northern Allegheny Knee-of-the-Curve Analysis	9-165
Figure 9-33	Lower Ohio BBS Basin Alternative Ranking Results	9-182
Figure 9-34	Lower Ohio RBS Basin Alternative Ranking Results	9-182
Figure 9-35	Lower Ohio Knee-of-the-Curve Analysis	9-183
Figure 9-36	Main Rivers BBS Basin Alternative Ranking Results	9-197
Figure 9-37	Main Rivers RBS Basin Alternative Ranking Results	9-197
Figure 9-38	Main Rivers Knee-of-the-Curve Analysis	9-198
Figure 9-39	Saw Mill Run BBS Basin Alternative Ranking Results	9-216
Figure 9-40	Saw Mill Run RBS Basin Alternative Ranking Results	9-216
Figure 9-41	Saw Mill Run Knee-of-the-Curve Analysis	9-217
Figure 9-42	Turtle Creek BBS Basin Alternative Ranking Results	9-231
Figure 9-43	Turtle Creek RBS Basin Alternative Ranking Results	9-231
Figure 9-44	Turtle Creek Knee-of-the-Curve Analysis	9-232
Figure 9-45	Upper Allegheny BBS Basin Alternative Ranking Results	9-244
Figure 9-46	Upper Allegheny Knee-of-the-Curve Analysis	9-245
Figure 9-47	Upper Monongahela BBS Basin Alternative Ranking Results	9-258

Figure 9-48	Upper Monongahela RBS Basin Alternative Ranking Results	9-258
Figure 9-49	Upper Monongahela Knee-of-the-Curve Analysis	9-259
Figure 9-50	System-Wide Alternative 1: Basin-Based Control Strategy (0 overflows per year)	9-278
Figure 9-51	System-Wide Alternative 1: Basin-Based Control Strategy (1 to 3 overflows per year)	9-279
Figure 9-52	System-Wide Alternative 1: Basin-Based Control Strategy (4 to 6 overflows per year)	9-280
Figure 9-53	System-Wide Alternative 1: Basin-Based Control Strategy (7 to 12 overflows per year)	9-281
Figure 9-54	System-Wide Alternative 1: Basin-Based Control Strategy (13 to 20 overflows per year)	9-282
Figure 9-55	System-Wide Alternative 5	9-284
Figure 9-56	System-Wide Alternative 2: Regional-Based Control Strategy (0 overflows per year)	9-286
Figure 9-57	System-Wide Alternative 2: Regional-Based Control Strategy (1 to 3 overflows per year)	9-287
Figure 9-58	System-Wide Alternative 2: Regional-Based Control Strategy (4 to 6 overflows per year)	9-288
Figure 9-59	System-Wide Alternative 2: Regional-Based Control Strategy (7 to 12 overflows per year)	9-289
Figure 9-60	System-Wide Alternative 2: Regional-Based Control Strategy (13 to 20 overflows per year)	9-290
Figure 9-61	System-Wide Alternative 3c	9-293
Figure 9-62	System-Wide Alternative 3	9-294
Figure 9-63	System-Wide Alternative 3d	9-295
Figure 9-64	System-Wide Alternative 3e	9-296
Figure 9-65	System-Wide Alternative 3f-prelim	9-297
Figure 9-66	System-Wide Alternative 3g	9-298
Figure 9-67	System-Wide Alternative 3f	9-300
Figure 9-68	System-Wide Alternative 3f-modified	9-304
Figure 9-69	System-Wide Alternative 8a	9-305
Figure 9-70	System-Wide Alternative 3m	9-306

Figure 9-71	System-Wide Alternative 3f-modified-10pct	.9-309
Figure 9-72	BBS and RBS Alternatives - Annual Untreated CSO Volume Comparison	.9-311
Figure 9-73	Knee-of-the-Curve Analysis for BBS and RBS Alternatives	.9-312
Figure 9-74	Preliminary Hybrid Alternatives – Total Present Worth Cost Comparison	.9-317
Figure 9-75	Total Capital Cost for Alternatives 3f, 3h and 3i	.9-319
Figure 9-76	SSO Control Costs for Alternatives 3f, 3h and 3i	.9-320
Figure 9-77	Alternative 3f –SSO Control Cost by Planning Basin	.9-321
Figure 9-78	SSO Control Cost-Performance Curve for Summer Design Storm	.9-322
Figure 9-79	SSO Control Cost-Performance Curve for Winter Design Storm	.9-322
Figure 9-80	Additional Hybrid Alternatives - Annual Untreated CSO Volume Comparison	.9-325
Figure 9-81	Additional Hybrid Alternatives – CSO Volume Reduction Comparison	.9-326
Figure 9-82	Additional Hybrid Alternatives – SSO Discharge Volume Comparison	.9-327
Figure 9-83	Additional Hybrid Alternatives – ALCOSAN CSO Frequency Histogram	.9-328
Figure 9-84	Additional Hybrid Alternatives – ALCOSAN CSO Volume Histogram	.9-329
Figure 9-85	Additional Hybrid Alternatives – ALCOSAN CSO Duration Histogram	.9-330
Figure 9-86	Additional Hybrid Alternatives – Percent Capture Comparison	.9-332
Figure 9-87	Additional Hybrid Alternatives – Cost Versus Percent Capture	.9-333
Figure 9-88	Combined Sewer System Flow Component	.9-336
Figure 9-89	Knee-of-the-Curve Analysis for System-Wide Alternatives	.9-338
Figure 9-90	Existing Conditions Fecal Coliform Loadings by Source	.9-340
Figure 9-91	Existing Conditions Fecal Coliform Loadings from CSO and SSO Discharges	.9-341
Figure 9-92	Existing Overflows with Improved Baseflow and Stormwater Fecal Coliform Geometric-Mean Water Quality Criterion	.9-343
Figure 9-93	Existing Overflows with Improved Baseflow and Stormwater Fecal Coliform 10% Water Quality Criterion	.9-344
Figure 9-94	System-Wide Alternative 1: Basin-Based Control (13-20 OFs/year) Fecal Coliform Geometric-Mean Water Quality Criterion	.9-345

	System-Wide Alternative 1: Basin-Based Control (13-20 overflows/year) Fecal Coliform 10% Water Quality Criterion	9-346
Figure 9-96	System-Wide Alternative 5: 85% CSO Capture Fecal Coliform Geometric-Mean Water Quality Criterion	9-347
Figure 9-97	System-Wide Alternative 5: 85% CSO Capture Fecal Coliform 10% Water Quality Criterion	9-348
Figure 9-98	System-Wide Alternative 2: Regional-Based Control (13-20 OFs/yr) Fecal Coliform Geometric-Mean Water Quality Criterion	9-350
Figure 9-99	System-Wide Alternative 2: Regional Based-Control (13-20 overflows/ year) Fecal Coliform 10% Water Quality Criterion	9-351
Figure 9-100	System-Wide Alternative 2: Regional Based Control (7-12 overflows/ year) Fecal Coliform 10% Water Quality Criterion	9-352
Figure 9-101	BOD Overflow Loading Reduction Cost Performance Curve	9-355
Figure 9-102	NH3 Overflow Loading Reduction Cost Performance Curve	9-355
Figure 9-103	Total Suspended Solids Overflow Loading Reduction Cost Performance Curve	9-356
Figure 9-104	Total Phosphorus Overflow Loading Reduction Cost Performance Curve	9-356
Figure 9-105	Fecal Coliform Overflow Loading Reduction Cost Performance Curve	9-357
Figure 9-106	Water Quality Cost-Benefit Analysis	9-360
Figure 9-107	Water Quality Improvement Projection	9-361
Figure 9-108	Sensitive Areas Analysis – CSO Frequency Comparison	9-364
Figure 9-109	Sensitive Areas Analysis – CSO Volume Comparison	9-365
Figure 9-110	Sensitive Areas Analysis – CSO Duration Comparison	9-366
Figure 9-111	Summary of System-Wide Alternatives Ranking Results	9-371
Figure 9-112	Map of Selected Plan	9-375
Figure 9-113	Regional Tunnel Profile – Ohio / Allegheny Rivers	9-379
Figure 9-114	Regional Tunnel Profile –Monongahela River	9-380
Figure 9-115	Chartiers Creek Relief Interceptor Profile	9-381
Figure 9-116	Lower Ohio Tunnel Profile	9-382
Figure 9-117	Lower Northern Allegheny Consolidation Sewer Profile	9-383
Figure 9-118	Saw Mill Run Tunnel & Relief Interceptor Profile	9-384

Figure 9-119	Turtle Creek Consolidation Sewers TC_CF01 & TC_CF02	9-385
Figure 9-120	Turtle Creek Consolidation Sewer TC_CF03	9-386
Figure 9-121	Turtle Creek Consolidation Sewrs TC_CF04	9-387
Figure 9-122	Turtle Creek Consolidation Sewers TC_CF05 & TC_CF06	9-388
Figure 9-123	Upper Allegheny Consolidation Sewer Profile	9-389
Figure 9-124	Upper Monongahela Consolidation Sewer Profile (M-42 to M-45)	9-390
Figure 9-125	Upper Monongahela Consolidation Sewer Profile (M-51 to M-60)	9-391
Figure 9-126	Selected Plan Attainment with Fecal Coliform Geometric-Mean Water Quality Criterion	9-399
Figure 9-127	Selected Plan Attainment with Fecal Coliform 10% Water Quality Criterion	9-400
Figure 9-128	Typical Year Fecal Coliform Loads After Implementation of the Selected Plan	9-401
Figure 9-129	Existing Conditions Fecal Coliform Loadings by Source	9-401
Figure 9-130	Annual SSO Volume Comparison for Selected Plan	9-402
Figure 9-131	CSO Volume Comparison for Selected Plan	9-404
Figure 9-132	Total Suspended Solids Discharge Loads for Selected Plan	9-405
Figure 9-133	Total Phosphorus Discharge Loads for Selected Plan	9-405
Figure 9-134	BOD Discharge Loads for Selected Plan	9-406
Figure 9-135	Percent Capture for Selected Plan	9-407
Figure 9-136	Sensitive Areas CSO Frequency Analysis for Selected Plan	9-408
Figure 9-137	Sensitive Areas CSO Volume Analysis for Selected Plan	9-409
Figure 9-138	ALCOSAN Selected Alternative - Residential Indicators by Municipality	9-418
Figure 9-139	ALCOSAN Selected Alternative - Residential Indicators Showing Intra-Municipal Variations	9-419
Figure 9-140	Annual Debt Service Costs for the Selected Alternative	9-421
Figure 9-141	ALCOSAN Selected Alternative – Residential Indicators by Census Block Groups	9-424
Figure 9-142	SSO Control Priority Alternative	9-429
Figure 9-143	Water Quality Priority Alternative	9-433

	Figure 9-144	Water Quality Priority Alternative with Recreational Use Survey Results	.9-434
	Figure 9-145	Balanced Priorities Alternative	.9-439
	Figure 9-146	Affordable Alternative Annual Untreated CSO Volume Comparison	.9-444
	Figure 9-147	Affordable Alternative Reduction in CSO Volume Comparison	.9-445
	Figure 9-148	Affordable Alternative Annual Untreated SSO Volume Comparison	.9-446
	Figure 9-149	Affordable Alternative Percentage Capture Comparison	.9-448
	Figure 9-150	SSO Priority Fecal Coliform Water Quality Standard Attainment Assessment for the Geometric Mean and 10% Criteria	.9-449
	Figure 9-151	Water Quality Priority Fecal Coliform Water Quality Standard Attainment Assessment for the Geometric Mean and 10% Criteria	.9-450
	Figure 9-152	Balanced Plan Fecal Coliform Water Quality Standard Attainment Assessment for the Geometric Mean and 10% Criteria	.9-451
	Figure 9-153	ALCOSAN Receiving Waters (Allegheny River, Monongahela River, Ohio River, Chartiers Creek, Saw Mill Run and Turtle Creek) Water Quality Benefit Comparison	.9-452
	Figure 9-154	Main Rivers (Allegheny River, Monongahela River, and Ohio River) Water Quality Benefit Comparison	.9-453
	Figure 9-155	Comparison of CSO Frequency for Outfalls Discharging to Sensitive Areas	.9-454
	Figure 9-156	Comparison of CSO Volumes for Outfalls Discharging to Sensitive Areas	.9-455
	Figure 9-157	Comparison of CSO Durations for Outfalls Discharging to Sensitive Areas	.9-456
	Figure 9-158	Affordable Alternatives CSO and SSO Volume Reduction Comparison	.9-458
	Figure 9-159	Affordable Alternatives CSO and SSO Discharge Volume Comparison	.9-459
Se	ction 10 Figu	res	
	Figure 10-1	Four Categories of Sewer Overflow Control Technologies	10-2
	Figure 10-2	ALCOSAN Design Rendering for McKinley Park in the Beltzhoover Neighborhood of Pittsburgh	10-6
	Figure 10-3	Pathways of Infiltration and Inflow (I/I) into Sanitary Sewer Systems and Various I/I Investigation Tools	.10-15
	Figure 10-4	Example of System Wet Weather Treatment and Dewatering Capacity	.10-26
	Figure 10-5	Cumulative Distribution of Rainfall Event Volumes	.10-30

Figure 10-6	Cumulative Distribution of Saturated Vertical Hydraulic Conductivity Assumptions in Hydrologic Models used in the WWP	.10-31
Figure 10-7	Illustration of Loading Ratio Definition	.10-32
Figure 10-8	GSI Redevelopment Example: ALCOSAN Customer Service Building	.10-36
Figure 10-9	GSI Retrofit Example: Local 95 Operating Engineers Union Building on Saline Street	.10-36
Figure 10-10	National Comparison – Construction Cost (\$ per Square Foot) of Installed GSI	.10-36
Figure 10-11	National Comparison – Capital Cost (\$ per Square Foot) of Installed GSI	10-37
Figure 10-12	Potential Value of Development/Redevelopment Ordinances	.10-40
Figure 10-13	Three Components of Wet Weather Wastewater Flow	.10-41
Figure 10-14	Relationship between Wet Weather Peaking Factor and Groundwater Infiltration in the ALCOSAN Service Area	.10-43
Figure 10-15	Data Plot of Local CIPP Construction Data	.10-46
Figure 10-16	Capital Cost and Overflow Reduction Benefit of Green Stormwater Infrastructure (Simulations 1, 2, 3)	.10-48
Figure 10-17	Range in Cost Effectiveness for Simulations 1, 2, and 3	.10-49
Figure 10-18	Sewer Rehabilitation Target Areas	.10-51
Figure 10-19	Capital Cost and Overflow Reduction Benefit of I/I Reduction (Simulations 4, 8, 9)	.10-52
Figure 10-20	Range in Cost-Effectiveness for Simulations 4, 8, and 9	.10-53
Figure 10-21	Range in Cost-Effectiveness for Simulations 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, and 13 \dots	.10-55
Figure 10-22	Capital Cost and Overflow Reduction without Redevelopment	.10-56
Figure 10-23	Capital Cost and Overflow Reduction with Redevelopment	.10-57
Figure 10-24	Preferred and Assumed Municipal Improvements as Reflected in ALCOSAN's WWP (August 2012)	.10-59
Figure 10-25	Count of outfalls in various Overflow Frequency ranges for GSI only Alternative	.10-62
Figure 10-26	Outfalls in various Overflow Frequency ranges for GSI only Alternative	.10-63
•	Example of Evaluating Opportunities to Eliminate Grey Projects with GSI for Outfalls M-17, M-18, and M-20	
Figure 10-28	Cost Comparison Results for 19 Outfalls Analyzed with 50% of Impervious Cover Managed with GSI	.10-66

Figure 10-29	Connections	.10-71
Figure 10-30	Potential of I/I Reduction to Eliminate SSOs – Point of Connection Sewersheds – Cost Ratio of One or Less	.10-72
Figure 10-31	Potential of I/I Reduction to Eliminate SSOs – Point of Connection Sewersheds – Cost Ratio of One or More	.10-73
Figure 10-32	Location of Point of Connection Sewersheds with Greatest Potentials for I/I Reduction to Eliminate SSOs for 2-Year Design Storm	
Figure 10-33	Remaining SSO Volume After Aggressive I/I Reduction	10-74
Figure 10-34	Selected Plan	10-84
Figure 10-35	Summary of Downsizing Analysis "Loops" Depicting Portions of Downsizing Analysis with Selected Plan Cost Ratio Comparison Values	10-88
Figure 10-36	Green Stormwater Infrastructure Study Areas in ALCOSAN source control study and other local analyses	10-92
Figure 10-37	Sample SUSTAIN Output	10-93
Figure 10-38	Potential Green Stormwater Infrastructure Municipal Retrofit Project Locations Identified by 3RWW	10-97
Figure 10-39	Locations of Selected GSI Sites in Lawrenceville	10-98
Figure 10-40	Spring Garden Green Stormwater Infrastructure Concept Design1	0-102
Figure 10-41	ALCOSAN and PWSA Partnered for GSI projects in Pittsburgh's Schenley Park1	0-103
Figure 10-42	Etna Borough GSI Installation at Walnut School District	0-104
Figure 10-43	Layout of Conceptual GSI Projects in Frick Museum Area, Point Breeze1	0-105
Figure 10-44	Conceptual Rendering of 21st Street in Pittsburgh's Southside1	0-106
Figure 10-45	Existing Area of Potential GSI Project within Allegheny Green Boulevard1	0-107
Figure 10-46	Municipal and Stakeholder Identified GSI Projects1	0-110
Figure 10-47	ALCOSAN Officials Conducting a GSI Field Assessment1	0-113
Figure 10-48	Example Summary of a GSI Concept post-Site Visit1	0-113
Figure 10-49	Braddock Community Plaza Rendering1	0-114
Figure 10-50	Braddock Plaza GSI Concept Plan1	0-115
Figure 10-51	Homestead Borough GSI Concept Layout1	0-116

Figure 10-52	Homestead Borough Frick Park GSI Cross-Sectional Rendering	0-117
Figure 10-53	McKinley Park Bernd Street GSI Concept Layout	10-117
Figure 10-54	McKinley Park, Michigan Street GSI Concept Rendering	0-118
Figure 10-55	McKinley Park Rendering	0-119
Figure 10-56	Etna Borough GSI Rendering	0-119
Figure 10-57	Etna Borough GSI Concept Plan	0-120
Figure 10-58	Morningside Infiltration Trench Rendering	0-121
Figure 10-59	Morningside GSI Concept Plan	0-122
Figure 10-60	East Pittsburgh GSI Concept Plan	10-123
Figure 10-61	ALCOSAN Access Shaft M-30 GSI Concept Plan	0-124
Figure 10-62	Fleming Avenue GSI Concept Plan	0-125
Figure 10-63	Allegheny Commons GSI Concept Rendering	0-126
Figure 10-64	Airbrake Avenue GSI Concept Layout, Wilmerding Borough	10-127
Figure 10-65	The ALCOSAN Service Area Provides Abundant Opportunities for GSI and I/I Projects	10-133
Figure 10-66	Areas of Identified Project Opportunities	10-135
Figure 10-67	Source Control Areas Included in Greener Alternative	0-149
Figure 10-68	GSI Opportunities Included in Greener Alternative	0-151
Figure 10-69	I/I Reduction Opportunities Included in Greener Alternative	0-152
Figure 10-70	Controlling sewer overflows requires the capture and treatment of large amounts of wastewater which frequently exceeds current system capacities	10-156
Figure 10-71	Types of Green Stormwater Infrastructure Incentives Programs	0-159
Figure 10-72	Wet Weather Fees and Discounts for Large Systems	0-161
Figure 10-73	Deteriorated Building Lateral Sewer	10-162
Figure 10-74	Sump pump disconnection from sanitary sewer	10-162
Figure 10-75	Jack's Run stream was re-routed out of the combined sewer system and restored	10-166
Figure 10-76	ALCOSAN Officials Evaluating Locations of GSI Projects	10-166
Figure 10-77	Sanitary Sewer Overflow Caused by Excessive Inflow and Infiltration1	0-170

	Figure 10-78	erosion, converting lawns to meadows, and vegetative buffers in Pittsburgh's Schenley Park	.10-171
	Figure 10-79	ALCOSAN's CS&T Building parking lot uses a rain garden and bio-swale to capture and infiltrate stormwater	.10-172
	Figure 10-80	ALCOSAN's Green Initiatives	.10-186
Se	ction 11 Figu	res	
	Figure 11-1	Interim Measures Wet Weather Plan Grey Infrastructure Projects	11-8
	Figure 11-2	Woods Run WWTP Expansion – Wastewater Treatment Process Flow Diagrams	11-24
	Figure 11-3	Recommended WWTP Expansion Conceptual Site Plan	11-27
	Figure 11-4	Proposed Regional Tunnel Extent for Interim Measures Wet Weather Plan	11-31
	Figure 11-5	Ohio River Tunnel Segment	11-37
	Figure 11-6	Allegheny River Tunnel Segment	11-39
	Figure 11-7	Monongahela River Tunnel Segment	11-42
	Figure 11-8	Monongahela Retention Treatment Basin Facility	11-44
	Figure 11-9	Overflow Volume Reduction Comparison for Interim Measures Wet Weather Plan	11-45
	Figure 11-10	Sensitive Area CSO Volume Analysis for Interim Measures Wet Weather Plan	11-46
	Figure 11-11	Schedule of Activities for ALCOSAN's Interim Measures Wet Weather Plan	11-49
	Figure 11-12	Map of SSO Outfall Monitoring Locations	11-65
	Figure 11-13	Rainfall Gauge Network and Pixel Grid	11-68
	Figure 11-14	Receiving Stream Monitoring Locations	11-72
	Figure 11-15	USGS Stream Gauging Station Locations	11-74
	Figure 11-16	Projected Annual ALCOSAN Costs for O&M and Debt Service Paymen (In Millions without Wet Weather Program Spending)	
	Figure 11-17	Projected ALCOSAN Annual Costs for O&M and Debts Service Paymer (In Millions with the IWWP)	
	Figure 11-18	Projected ALCOSAN Revenue Requirements	11-91
	Figure 11-10	Posidential Indicator Trend Line through 2016	11_02

Figure 11-20	Burden Level of Households	.11-93
Figure 11-21	Residential Indicator Cumulative Frequency Analysis (Projected 2037)	.11-94
Figure 11-22	Projected 2037 Residential Indicators by Census Block Group	.11-95
Figure 11-23	Projected 2037 Residential Indicators by Municipality	.11-99
Figure 11-24	Residential Indicator Sensitivity to Uncontrollable Variables	11-101
Figure 11-25	Adaptive management principles are designed to produce improved outcomes by employing continuous monitoring, evaluation, and refinement of a plan during implementation	11-108
Figure 11-26	Interim Measures Wet Weather Plan Adaptive Management Implementation Timeline	11-109

Tables

Se	ction 1 Table	es	
	Table 1-1	Average Daily Flow at the ALCOSAN Treatment Plant	1-6
	Table 1-2	WWP Correlation to USEPA Long-Term Control Plan Guidance	1-14
Se	ction 2 Table	es	
	Table 2-1	ALCOSAN Municipalities by Planning Basin	2-9
	Table 2-2	ALCOSAN Draft WWP Public Meetings	2-23
	Table 2-3	Summary of Public Meetings and Public Comments Received	2-29
	Table 2-4	List of Municipalities / Authorities who Submitted Comments on the Draft WWP	. 2-40
	Table 2-5	General Statistics for Municipal Comments Received on the Draft ALCOSAN WWP	2-43
	Table 2-6	Summary of Changes to the Wet Weather Plan	2-55
Se	ction 3 Table	es	
	Table 3-1	Summary of ALCOSAN Interceptor System Pipe Categories	3-5
	Table 3-2	Summary of Existing Woods Run WWTP Treatment Processes	3-7
	Table 3-3	Planning Basin Overview Information	3-9
	Table 3-4A	Additional Planning Basin Overview Information	3-10
	Table 3-4B	Additional Planning Basin Overview Information	3-11
	Table 3-5	Municipal Collection System Direct Stream Inflows	3-14
	Table 3-6	Combined, Separate and Non-Contributing Areas within the Chartiers Creek Basin	3-17
	Table 3-7	Tributary Municipalities in the Chartiers Creek Planning Basin	3-18
	Table 3-8	Chartiers Creek Planning Basin Interceptor Pipe Components	3-25
	Table 3-9	Inverted Siphons along the Chartiers Creek Interceptor	3-26
	Table 3-10	ALCOSAN CSO and SSO Regulator Structures in the Chartiers Creek Planning Basin	3-27
	Table 3-11	Lengths of Sewers within each Municipality in the Chartiers Creek Basin	3-31
	Table 3-12	Municipal CSO Regulator Structures within the Chartiers Creek Basin	3-33
	Table 3-13	Municipal SSO Regulator Structures within the Chartiers Creek Basin	3-36

Table 3-14	Combined, Separate and Non-Contributing Areas within the LOGR Basin3-39
Table 3-15	Tributary Municipalities in the Lower Ohio-Girty's Run Planning Basin3-40
Table 3-16	LOGR Planning Basin Interceptor Pipe Components3-45
Table 3-17	ALCOSAN CSO and SSO Regulators within the LOGR Planning Basin3-46
Table 3-18	Lengths of Sewers within each Municipality in the LOGR Planning Basin3-48
Table 3-19	Municipal CSO Regulator Structures within the LOGR Planning Basin3-50
Table 3-20	Municipal SSO Regulator Structures within the LOGR Planning Basin3-51
Table 3-21	Combined, Separate and Non-Contributing Areas within the Main Rivers Basin
Table 3-22	Tributary Municipalities in the Main Rivers Planning Basin3-54
Table 3-23	Main Rivers Planning Basin Interceptor Pipe Components3-59
Table 3-24	ALCOSAN CSO and SSO Regulator Structures within the Main Rivers Basin
Table 3-25	Lengths of Sewers within each Municipality in the Main Rivers Basin3-63
Table 3-26	Municipal CSO and SSO Regulator Structures within the Main Rivers Basin
Table 3-27	Combined, Separate and Non-Contributing Areas in the Saw Mill Run Basin
Table 3-28	Tributary Municipalities in the Saw Mill Run Planning Basin3-66
Table 3-29	Saw Mill Run Planning Basin Interceptor Pipe Components3-69
Table 3-30	ALCOSAN CSO and SSO Regulator Structures in the Saw Mill Run Basin3-70
Table 3-31	Lengths of Sewers within each Municipality in the Saw Mill Run Basin3-72
Table 3-32	Municipal CSO Regulator Structures in the Saw Mill Run Basin3-74
Table 3-33	Municipal SSO Regulator Structures in the Saw Mill Run Basin3-77
Table 3-34	Combined, Separate and Non-Contributing Areas in the TC Basin3-79
Table 3-35	Tributary Municipalities in the Turtle Creek Planning Basin3-80
Table 3-36	Turtle Creek Basin Interceptor Pipe Components3-85
Table 3-37	ALCOSAN CSO and SSO Regulator Structures in the TC Planning Basin3-86
Table 3-38	Lengths of Sewers within each Municipality in the TC Planning Basin3-88
Table 3-39	Municipal CSO Regulator Structures in the TC Planning Basin3-90

Table 3-40	Municipal SSO Regulator Structures in the TC Planning Basin3-91
Table 3-41	Municipal Storage / Flow Equalization Facilities in the TC Planning Basin3-92
Table 3-42	Combined, Separate and Non-Contributing Areas in the Upper Allegheny River Basin
Table 3-43	Tributary Municipalities in the Upper Allegheny River Planning Basin3-94
Table 3-44	Upper Allegheny River Planning Basin Interceptor Pipe Components3-99
Table 3-45	ALCOSAN CSO and SSO Regulator Structures in the Upper Allegheny River Basin3-100
Table 3-46	Lengths of Sewers within each Municipality in the Upper Allegheny Basin 3-102
Table 3-47	Municipal CSO Regulator Structures in the Upper Allegheny River Basin3-104
Table 3-48	Municipal SSO Regulator Structures in the Upper Allegheny River Basin3-106
Table 3-49	Combined, Separate and Non-Contributing Areas in the Upper Monongahela River Basin3-107
Table 3-50	Tributary Municipalities in the Upper Monongahela River Planning Basin3-108
Table 3-51	Upper Monongahela River Planning Basin Interceptor Pipe Components3-113
Table 3-52	ALCOSAN CSO Regulator Structures in the Upper Monongahela Basin3-114
Table 3-53	Lengths of Sewers within each Municipality in the Upper Monongahela River Basin3-116
Table 3-54	Municipal CSO Regulator Structures in the Upper Monongahela Basin3-118
Table 3-55	Municipal SSO Regulator Structures in the Upper Monongahela Basin3-120
Section 4 Table	es
Table 4-1	Frequency Estimates for Precipitation Depth in Inches4-6
Table 4-2	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual CSO and SSO Discharge Volume Summary for the Entire ALCOSAN Service Area4-30
Table 4-3	Existing Condition, Typical Year Wet Weather Flow Capture Summary for the Entire ALCOSAN Service Area4-34
Table 4-4	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual CSO Discharge Summary – ALCOSAN Outfalls within the Chartiers Creek Planning Basin Area4-36
Table 4-5	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual CSO Discharge Summary – Municipal Outfalls within the Chartiers Creek Planning Basin Area4-39
Table 4-6	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual SSO Discharge Summary ALCOSAN Outfalls within the Chartiers Creek Planning Basin Area 4-41

Table 4-7	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual SSO Discharge Summary Municipal Outfalls within the Chartiers Creek Planning Basin Area4-43
Table 4-8	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual CSO Discharge Summary ALCOSAN Outfalls within the LOGR Planning Basin Area4-52
Table 4-9	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual CSO Discharge Summary Municipal Outfalls within the LOGR Planning Basin Area4-54
Table 4-10	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual SSO Discharge Summary ALCOSAN Outfalls within the LOGR Planning Basin Area4-55
Table 4-11	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual SSO Discharge Summary Municipal Outfalls within the LOGR Planning Basin Area4-57
Table 4-12	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual CSO Discharge Summary ALCOSAN Outfalls within the Main Rivers Planning Basin Area4-64
Table 4-13	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual CSO Discharge Summary Municipal Outfalls within the Main Rivers Planning Basin Area4-69
Table 4-14	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual CSO Discharge Summary ALCOSAN Outfalls within the Saw Mill Run Planning Basin Area4-76
Table 4-15	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual CSO Discharge Summary Municipal Outfalls within the Saw Mill Run Planning Basin Area4-78
Table 4-16	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual SSO Discharge Summary ALCOSAN Outfalls within the Saw Mill Run Planning Basin Area4-80
Table 4-17	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual SSO Discharge Summary Municipal Outfalls within the Saw Mill Run Planning Basin Area4-81
Table 4-18	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual CSO Discharge Summary ALCOSAN Outfalls within the Turtle Creek Planning Basin Area4-88
Table 4-19	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual CSO Discharge Summary Municipal Outfalls within the Turtle Creek Planning Basin Area4-90
Table 4-20	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual SSO Discharge Summary ALCOSAN Outfalls within the Turtle Creek Planning Basin Area4-91
Table 4-21	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual SSO Discharge Summary Municipal Outfalls within the Turtle Creek Planning Basin Area4-94
Table 4-22	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual CSO Discharge Summary ALCOSAN Outfalls within the Upper Allegheny River Planning Basin Area .4-102
Table 4-23	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual CSO Discharge Summary Municipal Outfalls within the Upper Allegheny River Planning Basin Area4-104
Table 4-24	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual SSO Discharge Summary ALCOSAN Outfalls within the Upper Allegheny River Planning Basin Area 4-105

	Table 4-25	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual SSO Discharge Summary Municipal Outfalls within the Upper Allegheny River Planning Basin Area	4-107
	Table 4-26	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual CSO Discharge Summary ALCOSAN Outfalls within the Upper Monongahela Planning Basin Area	4-114
	Table 4-27	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual CSO Discharge Summary Municipal Outfalls within the Upper Monongahela Planning Basin Area	4-116
	Table 4-28	Existing Condition, Typical Year Annual SSO Discharge Summary Municipal Outfalls within the Upper Monongahela Planning Basin Area	4-118
Sec	tion 5 Table	es	
	Table 5-1	Allegheny, Monongahela, and Ohio River Watershed Descriptions	5-2
	Table 5-2	Percent Land Cover Types in the ALCOSAN Service Area	5-4
	Table 5-3	Characteristics of Streams Receiving Overflows from ALCOSAN Interceptor Systems	5-9
	Table 5-4	Contact Type and Use Categories	5-11
	Table 5-5	Follow-up Site Investigation Summary Including Reconnaissance Data Point(s)	5-12
	Table 5-6	Percentage of Field Visits Where Recreational Activities were Observed by Waterway	5-15
	Table 5-7	Designated Uses for Aquatic Life in the ALCOSAN Service Area	5-18
	Table 5-8	Water Suppliers with Surface Water Intakes within the ALCOSAN Service Area	5-19
	Table 5-9	Average Yearly Vessel Traffic 2005 to 2010	5-21
	Table 5-10	Sensitive Areas per Consent Decree (Appendix C)	5-21
	Table 5-11	Protected Water Uses in Pennsylvania	5-23
	Table 5-12	Designated Uses for Aquatic Life in and around the ALCOSAN Service Area	5-25
	Table 5-13	Standard Water Quality Criteria	5-27
	Table 5-14	Temperature Standards by Aquatic Life Use	5-29
	Table 5-15	DO and Temperature Criteria for each Aquatic Life Use	5-30
	Table 5-16	Toxic Substances Water Quality Criteria	5-30
	Table 5-17	ORSANCO Temperature Standards for Aquatic Life Use	5-33
	Table 5-18	Description of Hydraulic Condition Purpose IDs	5-38

	Table 5-19	Receiving Water Quality Sampling Parameters5-	38
	Table 5-20	CSO Sampling Stations5	44
	Table 5-21	Pollutants to be Evaluated under CD Appendices F and O5-	45
	Table 5-22	PCB CTS Sites5	49
	Table 5-23	Data Validation Qualifiers5-	53
	Table 5-24	BOD ₅ Observations Exceeding 10 mg/l Reference Threshold5-	58
	Table 5-25	Samples with Measured DO Less Than DO Standard5-	59
	Table 5-26	Samples Exceeding CMC for Total Ammonia Nitrogen5-	62
	Table 5-27	Samples Exceeding CCC for Total Ammonia Nitrogen5-	62
	Table 5-28	Samples with pH < 6.0 or pH > 9.05-	67
	Table 5-29	CSO PMP Summary Data by Parameter 2007 to 20115-	91
	Table 5-30	Industrial User Calculated Discharge Concentrations5-	93
	Table 5-31	Summary of Industrial User Sampled Parameter Concentrations at Points of Discharge5-	95
	Table 5-32	Industrial User Dilution Analysis5-	97
	Table 5-33	ALCOSAN Water Quality Model Base Wastewater Flow Concentrations5-1	00
	Table 5-34	ALCOSAN Event Mean Concentration Dataset5-1	01
	Table 5-35	Fecal Coliform Sample Set Specific In-Stream Base Flow Concentrations5-1	02
	Table 5-36	ALCOSAN Water Quality Model In-Stream Base Flow Concentrations5-1	03
Sec	tion 6 Table	es	
	Table 6-1	Municipal Acreage and Population Distribution6	3-4
	Table 6-2	2010 Service Area Municipal Population6	3-4
	Table 6-3	EPA Residential Indicator6	3-5
	Table 6-4	Service Area Median Household Income Profile6	3-6
	Table 6-5	Summary of Current Residential Indicator Results6	3-9
	Table 6-6	Household Wastewater Costs by Municipality6	3-9
	Table 6-7	2010 Bond Ratings Indicator Criteria6-	17
	Table 6-8	General Obligation Bond Credit Ratings6-	18
	Table 6-9	Overall Net Debt Indicator Criteria6-	19

	Table 6-10	Overall Net Debt as a Percent of Property Value (2009)	6-20
	Table 6-11	Unemployment Indicator Criteria	6-22
	Table 6-12	Unemployment Indicator	6-23
	Table 6-13	Median Household Income Indicator Criteria	6-26
	Table 6-14	Median Household Income by Municipalities (2009)	6-26
	Table 6-15	Property Tax Revenue Indicator Criteria	6-29
	Table 6-16	Municipal Property Tax Burden by Municipality	6-29
	Table 6-17	Property Tax Collection Indicator Criteria	6-32
	Table 6-18	Property Tax Collection Rate by Municipality	6-32
	Table 6-19	Permittee Financial Capability Indicator Benchmarks	6-36
	Table 6-20	Financial Capability Indicators – Weighted Average of Service Area	6-37
	Table 6-21	Current Conditions Financial Capability Matrix	6-37
	Table 6-22	Service Area Municipalities' Population Distributed by Age	6-38
	Table 6-23	Pittsburgh and Allegheny County Population Change	6-39
	Table 6-24	ALCOSAN Service Area Population History	6-40
	Table 6-25	Demographic Trends in ALCOSAN Service Area, 1990 to 2010	6-42
	Table 6-26	Service Area Municipality Income Growth	6-43
	Table 6-27	Other Income Growth and Inflation Measures	6-43
	Table 6-28	Sorted Municipal Median Household Incomes (2012 Estimates) and Collection System Type	6-45
	Table 6-29	Municipal Poverty Rates	6-47
	Table 6-30	Municipal Wastewater Authorities within the ALCOSAN Service Area	6-50
	Table 6-31	Service Area Municipal Class Summary	6-57
Sec	tion 7 Table	es	
	Table 7-1	Comparison of SPC and Municipal Population Projections by Planning Basin Area	7-6
	Table 7-2	Comparison of SPC and Municipal Population Projections by Customer Municipality	7-7
	Table 7-3	Projected Future Sewershed Area Growth by Planning Basin Area	7-13
	Table 7-4	Summary of Planned Projects	7-14

	Table 7-5	Projected Future Increases to Dry Weather Flow by Planning Basin Area	7-22
	Table 7-6	Basis for Median Household Income Projections	7-25
	Table 7-7	Projected Service Area Median Household Income	7-26
	Table 7-8	ALCOSAN Long-Term Operation and Maintenance Cost Growth Projection	7-28
	Table 7-9	Impacts of Operation and Maintenance Cost Inflation	7-29
	Table 7-10	Impacts of Capital Cost Inflation	7-30
	Table 7-11	ALCOSAN Premises and Billed Flow, 1992 through 2011	7-33
	Table 7-12	User Class Accounts and Billed Flow, 1994 through 2009	7-34
Sec	tion 8 Table	es ·	
	Table 8-1	Technology Screening and General Scoring Summary	8-10
	Table 8-2	Examples of Site Alternative Naming Conventions	8-14
	Table 8-3	CC - Feasible Control Technologies Carried Forward into Site Alternative Development	
	Table 8-4	CC – Identified Sites and Screening Results	8-20
	Table 8-5	CC – Alternative Flow Consolidations at Preferred Control Sites	8-23
	Table 8-6	CC – Site Alternative Evaluation Results	8-26
	Table 8-7	LOGR – Feasible Control Technologies Carried Forward into Site Alternative Development	8-29
	Table 8-8	LOGR – List of "Preferred Consolidation" Control Sites	8-31
	Table 8-9	LOGR – Preliminary Couplings of Control Technologies and Sites	8-33
	Table 8-10	LOGR – Site Alternative Evaluation Results	8-35
	Table 8-11	LOGR – Control Technologies Carried Forward	8-36
	Table 8-12	MR - Feasible Control Technologies Carried Forward into Site Alternative Development	8-38
	Table 8-13	MR – Potential Sites	8-40
	Table 8-14	MR – Potential Sites Carried Forward Into Site Alternative Development .	8-42
	Table 8-15	MR – Initial Consolidation Flow (CF) Concepts	8-44
	Table 8-16	MR – Site Alternative Evaluation Results	8-47
	Table 8-17	MR – Control Technologies Carried Forward	8-50

Table 8-18	SMR – Feasible Control Technologies Carried Forward Into Site Alternative Development	8-52
Table 8-19	SMR – Site Alternative Evaluation Results	8-56
Table 8-20	TC – Feasible Control Technologies Carried Forward Into Site Alternative Development	8-60
Table 8-21	TC – Site Reconnaissance Summary	8-61
Table 8-22	TC – Site Alternative Evaluation Results	8-67
Table 8-23	TC – Summary of Control Technologies Carried Forward	8-68
Table 8-24	UA – Feasible Control Technologies Carried Forward Into Site Alternative Development	8-70
Table 8-25	UA – Preliminary Site Screening Summary and Results	8-72
Table 8-26	UA – Preferred CSO Control Technologies and Control Sites for Further Consideration	8-77
Table 8-27	UA – Preferred SSO Control Technologies and Sites For Further Consideration	8-77
Table 8-28	UA – Site Alternative Evaluation Results	8-81
Table 8-29	UM – Feasible Control Technologies Carried Forward Into Site Alternative Development	8-83
Table 8-30	UM – Remaining Control Sites	8-84
Table 8-31	UM – Summary of Potential CF Routes	8-85
Table 8-32	UM – Site Alternative Evaluation Results	8-88
Table 8-33	UM – Summary of Control Technologies Screening	8-92
Section 9 Tab	les	
Table 9-1	Targeted Outfalls for Higher Level of CSO Control	9-5
Table 9-2	ACT Technology Summary	9-9
Table 9-3	Primary Influent Flows and Loads, 2004 through 2006	9-19
Table 9-4	Primary Effluent Flows and Loads, 2004 through 2006	9-19
Table 9-5	Primary Influent Concentrations, 2004 through 2006	9-19
Table 9-6	Primary Effluent Concentrations, 2004 through 2006	9-20
Table 9-7	Average Daily TSS and BOD Concentrations During Primary Stress Testing	9-20

Table 9-8	Primary Sedimentation Tanks Physical Design Parameters	9-24
Table 9-9	Aeration Basin Dimensions	9-26
Table 9-10	Design Flows and Loads to Secondary Treatment Process	9-26
Table 9-11	Design Oxygen Demand and Airflow Rates	9-27
Table 9-12	Final Settling Tank Basis of Design Data	9-27
Table 9-13	Process Capacity of Existing Secondary Treatment Units: Results of Theoretical Capacity Analysis	9-28
Table 9-14	Summary of Woods Run WWTP Wet Weather Expansion Scenarios	9-31
Table 9-15	Summary of Estimated Lifecycle Costs for Woods Run WWTP Expansion Scenarios	9-49
Table 9-16	Summary of Storage and Pump-Back Alternatives Conceptual Design	9-56
Table 9-17	Summary of Storage and Pump-Back Alternatives Estimated Capital Costs	9-58
Table 9-18	Storage and Pump-Back Alternatives Estimated Annual O&M Costs	9-59
Table 9-19	Estimated Annual O&M Costs at the Woods Run WWTP for Storage and Pump-Back Alternatives SPB-1 and SPB-2	9-60
Table 9-20	Summary of Storage and Pump-Back Alternatives Present Worth	9-61
Table 9-21	Summary of Wet Weather Bypass by Plant Expansion Scenario	9-62
Table 9-22	Potential Satellite Treatment Plant Locations and Treatment Capacities	9-66
Table 9-23/	A Summary of Satellite Treatment Estimated Capital Costs	9-68
Table 9-23	3 Summary of Satellite Treatment Facilities Present Worth	9-69
Table 9-24	Scenario SST-600 Combinations of Satellite Treatment Facilities and Present Worth Costs	9-70
Table 9-25	Scenario SST-480 Combinations of Satellite Treatment Facilities and Present Worth Costs	9-71
Table 9-26	Summary of WWTP Expansion, Storage and Pump Back and Satellite Treatment Alternatives Present Worth Costs	9-72
Table 9-27	Chartiers Creek Basin – Preferred/Assumed Flow Management Approach at each ALCOSAN POC	9-91
Table 9-28	Lower Ohio / Girty's Run Basin – Preferred/Assumed Flow Management Approach at each ALCOSAN POC	9-100
Table 9-29	Main Rivers Basin – Preferred/Assumed Flow Management Approach at each ALCOSAN POC	9-104

Table 9-30	at each ALCOSAN POC	.9-109
Table 9-31	Turtle Creek / Thompson Run Basin – Preferred/Assumed Flow Management Approach at each ALCOSAN POC	.9-114
Table 9-32	Thompson Run Basin – Preferred/Assumed Flow Management Approach at each ALCOSAN POC	.9-119
Table 9-33	Upper Allegheny River Basin – Preferred/Assumed Flow Management Approach at each ALCOSAN POC	.9-121
Table 9-34	Upper Monongahela River Basin – Preferred/Assumed Flow Management Approach at each ALCOSAN POC	
Table 9-35	Potential Municipal Green Infrastructure/Source Control Projects	.9-130
Table 9-36	List of Designated Complex POCs	.9-133
Table 9-37	Summary of Basin Alternatives Evaluated	.9-141
Table 9-38	Scoring Comparison: Site Alternative Screening vs. Basin Alternative Ranking	.9-146
Table 9-39	Chartiers Creek – Summary of Basin Alternatives Evaluated	.9-149
Table 9-40	Chartiers Creek Basin Alternative Costing Summary	.9-153
Table 9-41	Lower Northern Allegheny – Summary of Basin Alternatives Evaluated	.9-159
Table 9-42	Lower Northern Allegheny Basin Alternative Costing Summary	.9-166
Table 9-43	Lower Ohio – Summary of Basin Alternatives Evaluated	.9-173
Table 9-44	Lower Ohio Basin Alternative Costing Summary	.9-184
Table 9-45	Main Rivers – Summary of Basin Alternatives Evaluated	.9-192
Table 9-46	Main Rivers Basin Alternative Costing Summary	.9-199
Table 9-47	Main Rivers Sensitive Areas Analysis Results	.9-207
Table 9-48	MR BA3fm Sensitive Area Analysis Results	.9-209
Table 9-49	Summary of SMR Basin Flow Source Groupings	.9-212
Table 9-50	Saw Mill Run – Summary of Basin Alternatives Evaluated	.9-215
Table 9-51	Saw Mill Run Basin Alternative Costing Summary	.9-219
Table 9-52	Saw Mill Run - Summary of the Preferred BBS Alternatives	.9-222
Table 9-53	Saw Mill Run - Summary of the Preferred RBS Basin Alternatives	.9-224
Table 9-54	TC - Summary of Basin Alternatives Evaluated	.9-228

Table 9-55	Turtle Creek Basin Alternative Costing Summary	.9-233
Table 9-56	TC - Summary of Required Site Storage in Million Gallons	.9-235
Table 9-57	TC - Maximum Flows Conveyed to each Storage Site (MGD)	.9-235
Table 9-58	TC - Components of Basin Alternative BA15	.9-236
Table 9-59	TC - Components of Basin Alternative BA16A	.9-238
Table 9-60	TC - Components of Basin Alternative BA16A-Modified	.9-239
Table 9-61	TC - Components of BA17 Site Alternatives	.9-240
Table 9-62	Upper Allegheny – Summary of Basin Alternatives Evaluated	.9-243
Table 9-63	Upper Allegheny Basin Alternative Costing Summary	.9-247
Table 9-64	UA – Preferred Basin Alternatives for CSO/SSO Control	.9-248
Table 9-65	UA – Summary of Preferred BBS Basin Alternatives	.9-249
Table 9-66	Upper Monongahela – Summary of Basin Alternatives Evaluated	.9-256
Table 9-67	Upper Monongahela Basin Alternative Costing Summary	.9-261
Table 9-68	Summary of System-Wide Alternatives Evaluated	.9-273
Table 9-69	System-Wide Alternatives Costing Summary	.9-275
Table 9-70	Allowable Overflow Dates for Tunnel Analysis	.9-302
Table 9-71	Regional Tunnel Extents Analysis Results	.9-316
Table 9-72	Total Volume Requiring Secondary Treatment per Consent Decree Paragraphs 17 and 18	.9-335
Table 9-73	Total Core Flow Volume Requiring Secondary Treatment	.9-336
Table 9-74	Water Quality Standard Attainment Assessment Matrix	.9-353
Table 9-75	Treatment Facility Performance Assumptions	.9-357
Table 9-76	Pollutant Loading Reductions for Discharges from the Conveyance and Treatment System	.9-358
Table 9-77	System-Wide Alternative Ranking Categories and Weighting	.9-368
Table 9-78	Summary of Capital Improvements Associated with Selected Plan	.9-393
Table 9-79	Cost Breakdown for Selected Plan	.9-395
Table 9-80	Implementation Schedule for Long Term Control Plans in Other Metropolitan Areas	.9-411
Table 9-81	Selected Alternative Cost Summary	.9-413

	Table 9-82	EPA Worksheet 1 – Cost per Household	.9-415
	Table 9-83	EPA Worksheet 2 – Residential Indicator	.9-416
	Table 9-84	ALCOSAN Selected Alternative - Residential Indicators by Municipality	.9-420
		Typical Average Annual Capital Expenditures for Approved Long-Term Control Plans	.9-422
	Table 9-86	Selected Alternative Financial Capability Matrix	.9-425
	Table 9-87	Summary of Capital Improvements Associated with SSO Priority Plan	.9-430
		Summary of Capital Improvements Associated with Water Quality Priority Plan	.9-435
	Table 9-89	Summary of Capital Improvements Associated with Recommended Plan	.9-440
	Table 9-90	Affordable 2026 Alternatives for the \$2B Capital Recommended Plan	.9-442
	Table 9-91	Cost Comparison of Affordable Alternatives	.9-443
Sec	tion 10 Tabl	es	
	Table 10-1	National Data of Green Stormwater Infrastructure Capital Cost Commitments and Projects	10-9
	Table 10-2	Regional Roles & Responsibilities for Green Stormwater Infrastructure Implementation	.10-11
	Table 10-3	Estimated RDII Reductions from Sewer Rehabilitation	.10-17
	Table 10-4	I/I Reduction by Type of Sewer Rehabilitation	.10-18
	Table 10-5	Impervious Area Data Resources	.10-26
	Table 10-6	Impervious Area Estimate by Sewer System Type	.10-27
	Table 10-7	Breakdown of Impervious Surfaces in Combined Sewer Portion of Sewer System, by Land Use (Acres)	.10-28
	Table 10-8	Unit Costs of Green Stormwater Infrastructure in the ACT	.10-34
	Table 10-9	Cost Items Included in ALCOSAN ACT Definition of Construction and Capital Cost	.10-35
	Table 10-10	ALCOSAN ACT O&M Costs for Green Stormwater Infrastructure	.10-38
	Table 10-11	Estimated Range of RDII Reduction for Various Rehabilitation Practices	.10-44
	Table 10-12	Municipal Pipe Lining Unit Costs in ALCOSAN ACT (\$/LF, 2008 Dollars).	.10-45
	Table 10-13	GSI Represented in Model Simulations with Conservative Performance and Sizing Assumptions	.10-47

Table 10-14	Rehabilitation Assumptions for I/I Reduction Model Simulations1	0-50
Table 10-15	Public Sewer Lengths for which Rehabilitation was Assumed1	0-50
Table 10-16	Summary of Simulations Representing Combinations of Green Stormwater Infrastructure and Sewer Rehabilitation1	0-54
Table 10-17	Comparison of Planning Assumptions for Simulations 13 and HP1	0-57
Table 10-18	Comparison of Results for Simulations 13 and HP1	0-58
Table 10-19	Comparison of Green to Grey Costs for Outfalls with Available Municipal Outfall Specific Costs1	0-65
Table 10-20	Count of SSO Outfalls by Category of Remaining SSO Volume after Aggressive I/I Reduction1	0-75
Table 10-21	De-watering Time for Significant Events during the Typical Year Comparing Tunnel Pump Station Capacities1	0-85
Table 10-22	System-Wide Green-Grey Downsized Alternative Comparison to Selected Plan1	0-87
Table 10-23	Total SUSTAIN BMP Features Output for ALCOSAN Service Area1	0-95
Table 10-24	Summary of Identified Concept Municipal GSI Projects within ALCOSAN Service Area1	0-95
Table 10-25	Summary of Identified Concept Commercial/Institutional GSI Projects in ALCOSAN Service Area1	0-96
Table 10-26	Potential GSI Implementation Sites Evaluated in Lawrenceville Pilot Study1	0-99
Table 10-27	Lawrenceville GSI Implementation Sites Performance Statistics10	-100
Table 10-28	B Lawrenceville GSI Estimated Performance Unit Costs10)-101
Table 10-29	Examples of GSI Retrofit and Redevelopment Projects10)-111
Table 10-30	Areas of Identified Project Opportunities10)-136
Table 10-31	Summary of Source Controls Included in the Greener Alternative10)-148
Table 10-32	Regional Capital Cost Savings for Source Controls Identified to Potentially Eliminate Grey Projects10)-150
Table 10-33	B Estimated Performance of Greener Alternative Compared to Selected Plan10)-153
Table 10-34	Example Wet Weather Charges in Combined Sewer Systems10)-155
Table 10-35	Incentive Program Options Pros and Cons10)-179
Table 10-36		

Section 11 Tables

Table 11-1	Interim Measures Wet Weather Plan – Summary of Program Elements and Estimated Capital Costs	11-5
Table 11-2	Proactive Flow Reduction and System Upgrades	11-18
Table 11-3	Phasing the Woods Run WWTP Expansion	11-23
Table 11-4	Ohio River Tunnel Segment Drop Shafts	11-35
Table 11-5	Allegheny River Tunnel Segment Drop Shafts	11-38
Table 11-6	Monongahela River Tunnel Segment Drop Shafts	11-41
Table 11-7	List of SSO Outfall Monitoring Locations	11-60
Table 11-8	List of Rain Gauges Supporting the Calibrated Radar-Rainfall System	11-66
Table 11-9	List of Water Quality Monitoring Stations	11-69
Table 11-10	USGS Stream Gauging Stations	11-73
Table 11-11	Interim and Post Construction Monitoring Schedule	11-75
Table 11-12	Interim Wet Weather Plan Projected Annual Capital Expenditures	11-81
Table 11-13	ALCOSAN Total Projected Capital Expenditures Through 2036	11-82
Table 11-14	Projected Annual O&M and Debt Service Costs (\$ millions)	11-84
Table 11-15	Rate Increase History	11-85
Table 11-16	Projected Annual ALCOSAN Costs without the IWWP (\$ millions – Including Inflation and Ongoing Capital Improvements)	11-87
Table 11-17	Regional Residential Indicator without the IWWP	11-89
Table 11-18	Estimated Annual Costs with the Implementation of the IWWP (In \$ millions)	11-89
Table 11-19	ALCOSAN Projected Annual Revenue Requirements with IWWP	11-90
Table 11-20	ALCOSAN IWWP Projected Annual Cost per Typical Household	11-92
Table 11-21	Projected Cost Per Typical Household with IWWP by Municipality	11-96
Table 11-22	Sensitivity Analysis Variables	11-100
Table 11-23	Impacts on the Financial Capability Indicators	11-104
Table 11-24	Post Implementation Financial Capability Matrix	11-105

Bibliography

Regional, System-Wide Reports

ALCOSAN, Regional Collection System Flow Monitoring Plan, October 2007

ALCOSAN, Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB) Conveyance and Treatment System Quality Assurance Project Plan, January 2009

ALCOSAN, Revised Nine Minimum Control Plan, February 2009

ALCOSAN, Combined Sewer Overflow Pollutant Monitoring Plan, July 2009

ALCOSAN, Receiving Water Quality Monitoring Plan, April 2009

ALCOSAN, Hydrologic & Hydraulic Modeling Plan, August 2009

ALCOSAN, Draft Bypass Justification Report, April 2010

ALCOSAN, Internal Inspection Report, September 2010

ALCOSAN, Hydrologic and Hydraulic Model Validation Summary, July 2011

ALCOSAN, Conveyance and Treatment System (CTS) Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB) Data Summary Report, September 2011

ALCOSAN, Receiving Water Quality Model Plan, September 2011

ALCOSAN, Receiving Water Quality Model Validation Monitoring Plan, September 2011

ALCOSAN, Water Quality Model Validation Report, September 2011

ALCOSAN, Receiving Water and Combined Sewer Overflow Outfall Monitoring Data Report, September 2011

ALCOSAN, Starting at the Source: How Our Region Can Work Together for Clean Water, August 2015

Planning Basin Reports

Existing Information and Conditions Reports

ALCOSAN, Chartiers Creek Planning Basin Team, *Existing Information and Conditions Report*, 2010

ALCOSAN, Lower Ohio River - Girty's Run Planning Basin Team, *Existing Conditions and Information Report*, 2010

ALCOSAN, Main Rivers Planning Basin Team, *Existing Information and Conditions Report*, 2010

ALCOSAN, Saw Mill Run Planning Basin Team, *Current Information and Conditions Report*, 2009

ALCOSAN, Turtle Creek Planning Basin Team, Existing Conditions Report, 2009

ALCOSAN, Upper Allegheny River Planning Basin Team, *Existing Conditions Report*, 2009

ALCOSAN, Upper Monongahela River Planning Basin Team, Current Information and Conditions Report, 2009

H&H Model Validation and Characterization Reports

ALCOSAN, Chartiers Creek Planning Basin Team, *Hydrologic and Hydraulic Model Validation and Characterization Report*, 2010

ALCOSAN, Lower Ohio River - Girty's Run Planning Basin Team, *Hydrologic and Hydraulic Model Validation and Characterization Report*, 2010

ALCOSAN, Main Rivers Planning Basin Team, *Hydrologic and Hydraulic Validation and Characterization Report*, 2010

ALCOSAN, Saw Mill Run Planning Basin Team, *Hydrologic and Hydraulic Model Validation and Characterization Report*, 2010

ALCOSAN, Turtle Creek Planning Basin Team, *Hydrologic and Hydraulic Model Validation and Characterization Report*, 2010

ALCOSAN, Upper Allegheny River Planning Basin Team, *H&H Model Validation and Characterization Report*, 2010

ALCOSAN, Upper Monongahela River Planning Basin Team, *Hydrologic and Hydraulic Model Validation and Characterization Report*, 2010

Screening of Controls and Sites Reports

ALCOSAN, Chartiers Creek Planning Basin Team, Chartiers Creek Facility Plan Screening of Controls and Sites Report, 2010

ALCOSAN, Lower Ohio River - Girty's Run Planning Basin Team, *Screening of Controls and Sites Report*, 2010

ALCOSAN, Main Rivers Planning Basin Team, Screening of Controls and Sites Report, 2010

ALCOSAN, Saw Mill Run Planning Basin Team, Screening of Controls and Sites Report, 2010

ALCOSAN, Turtle Creek Planning Basin Team, Screening of Controls and Sites Report, 2010

ALCOSAN, Upper Allegheny River Planning Basin Team, *Preliminary Screenings of Controls and Sites Report*, 2010

ALCOSAN, Upper Monongahela River Planning Basin Team, Screening of Controls and Sites Report, 201,

Feasibility and Present Worth Analyses Reports

ALCOSAN, Chartiers Creek Planning Basin Team, Feasibility Report and Present Worth Analysis, 2011

ALCOSAN, Lower Ohio River - Girty's Run Planning Basin Team, Feasibility Report and Present Worth Analysis, 2011

ALCOSAN, Main Rivers Planning Basin Team, *Main Rivers Basin Facility Report and Present Worth Analysis*, 2011

ALCOSAN, Saw Mill Run Planning Basin Team, Feasibility Report and Present Worth Analysis, 2011

ALCOSAN, Turtle Creek Planning Basin Team, Feasibility Report and Present Worth Analysis, 2011

ALCOSAN, Upper Allegheny River Planning Basin Team, Feasibility Report and Present Worth Analysis, 2011

ALCOSAN, Upper Monongahela River Planning Basin Team, Feasibility Report and Present Worth Analysis, 2011.

Basin Facilities Plan Reports

ALCOSAN, Chartiers Creek Planning Basin Team, Basin Facilities Plan, 2012

ALCOSAN, Lower Ohio River - Girty's Run Planning Basin Team, *Basin Facilities Plan*, 2012

ALCOSAN, Main Rivers Planning Basin Team, Basin Facilities Plan, 2012

ALCOSAN, Saw Mill Run Planning Basin Team, Basin Facilities Plan, 2012

ALCOSAN, Turtle Creek Planning Basin Team, Basin Facilities Plan, 2012

ALCOSAN, Upper Allegheny River Planning Basin Team, Basin Facilities Plan, 2012

ALCOSAN, Upper Monongahela River Planning Basin Team, Basin Facilities Plan, 2012

Planning Basin Abbreviations

CC Chartiers Creek

LOGR Lower Ohio - Girty's Run

MR Main Rivers
SMR Saw Mill Run
TC Turtle Creek

UA Upper Allegheny - Pine Creek

UM Upper Monongahela

Abbreviations and Acronyms

3RWW 3 Rivers Wet Weather, Inc.

3R-PM 3 Rivers Wet Weather Program Management Team

AACE American Association of Cost Engineering

ACC Acute Criterion Concentration

ACHD Allegheny County Health Department

ac Acre

ACO
Administrative Consent Order
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
ACS
American Community Survey
ACT
Alternative Costing Tool
Average Daily Flow

ADF Average Daily Flow

AFD Adjustable Frequency Drives

AGIS Allegheny County Geographic Information System Database

ALOM Allegheny League of Municipalities
ALCOSAN Allegheny County Sanitary Authority
AMC Antecedent Moisture Conditions

AMD Acid Mine Drainage

AMIP Adaptive Management Implementation Plan

ATNK Above Ground Tank

BARAT Basin Alternatives Ranking and Assessment Tool

BBS Basin Based Strategy
BC Basin Coordinator
BG Billions of Gallons

BLS
BMP
Best Management Practice
BNR
Biologic Nutrient Removal
BOD
Biochemical Oxygen Demand

BOD₅ 5-day Biochemical Oxygen Demand

BP Basin Planner

BPC Basin Planning Committee
BQAR Basin Quarterly Activity Reports

BWWF Base Wastewater Flow

C Conveyance

CCAC Community College of Allegheny County

CCC Chronic Criterion Concentration

CD Consent Decree

CEPT Chemically Enhanced Primary Treatment

Cf Cubic Foot

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

cfu colony forming unit

CICR Current Information and Conditions Report

CIP Capital Improvement Program

CIPP Cured-in-Place Pipe

CMAC Customer Municipality Advisory Committee

CMC Criterion Maximum Concentration
COA Consent Order and Agreement
COD Chemical Oxygen Demand
COG Council of Government
COP City of Pittsburgh

CPH Cost per Household

CPRCS Critical Portions of the Regional Collection System

CPI Consumer Price Index

CRGIS Cultural Resources Geographic Information Systems

CS Control Site

CSL Clean Streams Law

CSO Combined Sewer Overflow CSS Combined Sewer System

CTS Conveyance and Treatment System

CWA Clean Water Act

D_t Detention Time

DC Water District of Columbia Water and Sewage Authority

DCIA Directly Connected Impervious Area

DCS Distributed Control System

DEP Department of Environmental Protection redundant with PaDEP

DO Dissolved Oxygen
DSI Direct Stream Inflow
DTI Deep Tunnel Interceptor
DWI Drinking Water Intake

ECR Existing Conditions Report EDI Equal-Discharge Increment

EICR Existing Information and Conditions Report

EMC Event Mean Concentration
ENR Enhanced Nutrient Removal

ENRCCI Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index

EPA Environmental Protection Agency
EPM Engineering Program Management
EQB Environmental Quality Board
ERU Equivalent Residential Unit

EV Exceptional Value
EWI Equal-Width Increment

FCA Financial Capability Assessment

FCI Financial Capability Indicator

FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency
FMIT Flow Monitoring Implementation Team
FMP Final Measures Wet Weather Plan
FMSP Field Monitoring and Sampling Plan
FMWG Flow Monitoring Working Group

FRPWA Feasibility Report and Present Worth Analysis

FST Final Settling Tank

FSWG Feasibility Study Working Group

ft Foot/Feet

Gal Gallons

GHG Greenhouse Gas
GI Green Infrastructure
GIF Green Improvement Fund
GIN Green Infrastructure Network
GIS Geographic Information System
gpcd Gallons per Capita per Day

GPD Gallons per Day

gpd/sf Gallons per Day per Square Foot GPIMD Gallons per Inch-Mile per Day

GPM Gallons per Minute
GPS Global Positioning System

GRJSA Girty's Run Joint Sewer Authority
GROW Green Revitalization of Our Waterways

GSI Green Stormwater Infrastructure

GWI Groundwater Infiltration

H&H Hydrologic and Hydraulic
HCPID Hydraulic Condition Purpose ID
HDPE High Density Polyethylene
HGL Hydraulic Grade Line

HHMP Hydrologic and Hydraulic Modeling Plan

HHMVCR Hydrologic and Hydraulic Modeling Validation and Characterization Report

HP Higher Performing Assumption (for simulation)

HQ High Quality

HRC High Rate Clarification
HRD High Rate Disinfection
HRT Hydraulic Retention Time

HUD Housing and Urban Development (Federal)

I/I Inflow and Infiltration

in Inch

INL In-Line-Storage

IPCMP Interim and Post-Construction Monitoring Plan

IPP Industrial Pretreatment Program IRO Interceptor Relief Overflow

IWM Integrated Watershed Management IWWP Interim Measures Wet Weather Plan

KOC Knee-of-the-Curve

L&D Lock and Dam

LEED Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design

LF Linear Foot/Feet

LID Low Impact Development LNA Lower Northern Allegheny

LO Lower Ohio
LOC Level of Control
LOI Letters of Interest
LON Lower Ohio North
LOS Lower Ohio South

LRJOC Lowries Run Joint Operating Committee

LTCP Long-Term Control Plan

LWE Left Water's Edge

MAA Municipal Authorities Act

MACT Maximum Achievable Control Threshold MCES Twin Cities Metropolitan Sewage District

MFS Municipal Feasibility Study
MDS Municipal Data Support

MG Million Gallons

MGD Million Gallons per Day
MHI Median Household Income
MLSS Mixed Liquor Suspended Solids
MMSD Metropolitan Sewer District

MSDGC Metropolitan Sewer District of Greater Cincinnati

MSRS Municipal Source Reduction Study(ies)
MTSA McCandless Township Sanitary Authority
MWRA Massachusetts Water Resources Authority

NA Not Applicable

NEORSD Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District

NH₃N Ammonia Nitrogen NMC Nine Minimum Controls

NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

NPS Non-Point Source

NURP Nationwide Urban Runoff Program
NSQD National Stormwater Quality Dataset

OLS Off-Line Storage

O&M Operations and Maintenance

ORSANCO Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission

OTSA Ohio Township Sanitary Authority

PaDEP Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection

PAT Port Authority Transit
PCB Polychlorinated Biphenyl

PCMR Post-Construction Monitoring Plan

PDF Portable Document File

PE Primary Effluent

PEDF Pennsylvania Environmental Defense Fund PennDOT Pennsylvania Department of Transportation

PennVEST Pennsylvania Infrastructure Investment Authority

PFE Preliminary Flow Estimate

PHF Peak Hourly Flow

PHMC Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission

PI Primary Influent

PIA Pittsburgh International Airport

PNDI Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory

PM Program Manager
PMP Project Monitoring Plan
POC Point of Connection

POTW Publicly Owned Treatment Works

PPP Public Participation Plan

PS Pump Station
PVC Polyvinyl Chloride
PW Present Worth

PWD Philadelphia Water Department

PWEA Pennsylvania Water Environment Association

PWSA Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority

QA Quality Assurance

QAPP Quality Assurance Project Plan

QC Quality Control

RAS Return Activated Sludge
RBM Regional Balance Model
RBS Regional Based Strategy
RCF Relative Contribution Factor
RCS Regional Collection System

RCS-FMP Regional Collection System Flow Monitoring Plan

RDII Rainfall Dependent Infiltration and Inflow

RFI Request for Information

RFMP Regional Flow Monitoring Plan

RI Residential Indicator

RIDC Regional Industrial Development Corporation of Southwestern Pennsylvania

RLTWWCCP Regional Long-Term Wet Weather Control Concept Plan

ROW Right of Way
R&R Renew & Replace

RSCS Regional Source Control Study RSG Regional Stakeholder Group RTB Retention Treatment Basin

RTK Runoff/Time to Peak/Ratio of Recession Time to Time to Peak

(modeling parameters)

RUS Rural Utility Service RWE Right Water's Edge

RWQMP Receiving Waster Quality Monitoring Program

SAS Statistical Analysis Software
SAT Satellite Advanced Treatment
SBR Sequencing Batch Reactor
scfm Standard Cubic Feet Per Minute

SCI Shallow Cut Interceptor

SCS Soil Conservation Service SCS Source Control Study

SCSR Screening of Controls and Sites Report

SD Screening and Disinfection

SEP Supplemental Environmental Projects

SF Square Foot

S&F Solids and Floatables

SFPUC San Francisco Public Utility Commission SHAPE Sewer Hydrograph Analysis Package SIAC System Inventory and Characterization

SOAK Sewer Overflow Advisory Key SOP Standard Operating Procedure

SOR Surface Overflow Rate SPB Storage and Pump-Back

SPC Southwest Pennsylvania Commission

sq. mi. Square Mile

SRIC Sewer Regionalization Implementation Conference

SRT Solids Retention Time SS Solids Separation

SSES Sanitary Sewer Evaluation Survey
SSI Sewage Sludge Incineration
SSO Sanitary Sewer Overflow
SSS Separate Sewer System
SST Satellite Sewage Treatment
STP Sewage Treatment Plant
SUO Sewer Use Ordinance

SUSTAIN System for Urban Stormwater Treatment and Analysis Integration

SV Single Vertical SVI Sludge Volume Index

SWD Side Water Depth

SWMM 5 Stormwater Management Model, Version 5

TBD To Be Determined
TBM Tunnel Boring Machine
TDH Total Dynamic Head
TKN Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen
TMDL Total Maximum Daily Load

TP Total Phosphorous
TPW Total Present Worth
TRC Total Residual Chlorine
TSS Total Suspended Solids

Twp. Township

UNMR Upper Nine Mile Run

UPMC University of Pittsburgh Medical Center

USEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

USGS United States Geological Survey

UV Ultraviolet

V Vortex Separation

VSS Volatile Suspended Solids

WaPUG Wastewater Planning Users Group

WAS Waste Activated Sludge

WEP New York Department of Water Environment Protection

WERF Water Environment Research Federation
WPC Western Pennsylvania Conservancy
WRDA Water Resources Development Act

WWF Wet Weather Flow WWP Wet Weather Plan

WWPU Wet Weather Plan Update
WWTP Wastewater Treatment Plant